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Context: Agents that enhance N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) function through the glycine modulatory site (D-serine, glycine, or D-cycloserine) or through glycine transporter 1 (sarcosine) improve the symptoms of patients with stable chronic schizophrenia.

Objective: To determine whether NMDA-glycine site agonists or glycine transporter-1 inhibitors have better efficacy and whether NMDA receptor-enhancing agents have beneficial effects for acute exacerbation of schizophrenia.

Design: Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.

Setting: Inpatient units of 2 major medical centers in Taiwan.

Patients: Sixty-five schizophrenic inpatients with acute exacerbation.

Interventions: Six weeks of treatment with sarcosine (2 g/d), D-serine (2 g/d), or placebo and concomitant optimal risperidone therapy.

Main Outcome Measures: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) and Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) (20 and 17 items) total scores.

Results: The sarcosine group revealed more reductions in PANSS total scores than the placebo (P = .04) and D-serine (P < .001) groups. Sarcosine adjunctive treatment was also superior to placebo in reducing SANS-20 (P = .007) and SANS-17 (P = .003) scores and to D-serine in decreasing SANS-20 (P = .006) and SANS-17 (P = .002) scores. The PANSS-general, PANSS-cognitive, and PANSS-depressive symptoms scores and SANS-alogia and SANS-blunted affect scores improved significantly more in sarcosine-cotreated patients than in risperidone monotherapy patients (P ≤ .02 for all). Sarcosine adjunctive therapy also surpassed D-serine in terms of PANSS-general, PANSS-positive, PANSS-negative, and PANSS-depressive symptoms scores (P = .04 for all). D-Serine and risperidone cotreatment did not differ significantly from risperidone monotherapy in all efficacy domains.

Conclusions: This first short-term treatment study on NMDA receptor–enhancing agents suggests that sarcosine, superior to D-serine, can benefit not only patients with long-term stable disease but also acutely ill persons with schizophrenia. This finding indicates that a glycine transporter 1 inhibitor may be more efficacious than NMDA-glycine site agonists for adjuvant treatment of schizophrenia, at least during the acute phase. Further studies are needed.
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TREATMENT RESPONSE TO ACUTE EXACERBATION OF SCHIZOPHRENIA IS OFTEN INCOMPLETE, AND PATIENTS ARE FREQUENTLY LEFT WITH SIGNIFICANT RESIDUAL SYMPTOMS AND FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENTS. 

Potentiation of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor–mediated neurotransmission has been proposed as a treatment alternative for schizophrenia. Several studies have demonstrated the clinical benefits of treatment for chronic schizophrenia that targets the glycine site of the NMDA receptor (the NMDA-glycine site). These agents include D-serine, glycine, and D-cycloserine. D-Cycloserine can reduce negative symptoms, D-Serine, D-alanine, and glycine can reduce negative and cognitive symptoms. Another approach to enhance NMDA neurotransmission is through increasing the availability of synaptic glycine by the attenuation of glycine reuptake through glycine trans-
porter 1 (GlyT-1). N-methylglycine (sarcosine) is a po-
tent endogenous inhibitor of GlyT-1.11 A recent study12
suggests that adding sarcosine to stable antipsychotic drug
regimens improves the negative and cognitive symptoms
of chronically stable schizophrenia. Furthermore, D-serine and sarcosine can improve positive symptoms in
patients with chronic schizophrenia taking stable doses of
antipsychotic agents.4,12
Glycine transporter 1 plays a pivotal role in main-
taining the concentration of glycine in synapses at a sub-
saturating level. Sarcosine is a prototypic compound for
GlyT-1 inhibitors, with an inhibition concentration of
50% (IC50) at low micromolar range.13 Supporting the
critical role that GlyT-1 plays in NMDA neurotrans-
mission, N[3-(4'-fluorophenyl)-3-(4'-phenoxo)propyl]sarcosine, a sarcosine analogue and a GlyT-1 inhibitor, can enhance NMDA neurotransmission.14,15 Changes in glycine levels induced by GlyT-1 inhibitors were also observed in vivo.16 In behavioral studies, the potency of a series of GlyT-1 antagonists for inhibiting phencyclidine-induced hyperactivity in vivo correlated significantly with their potency in antagonizing GlyT-1 in vitro.17 In rodents, concurrent treatment with N[3-(4'-fluorophenyl)-3-(4'-phenoxo)propyl]sarcosine prevents the dopaminergic dysregulation observed after phencyclidine administration.18 In addition, GlyT-1 heterozygous knockout mice are more resistant to the phencyclidine-induced disruption of prepulse inhibition.19 Also, anatomic distribution of GlyT-1 parallels that of the NMDA receptor.20
Previous studies of the NMDA-enhancing agents were
all conducted in patients with chronic stable disease.
Whether NMDA-enhancing agents benefit acute exacer-
bation of schizophrenia remains unknown. Moreover,
whether a GlyT-1 inhibitor is clinically more effective than
an NMDA-glycine site agonist remains unknown. Sarcosine may be superior to D-serine by extending its thera-
peutic effects beyond the core symptoms of schizophrenia; in the trial21 of patients with chronically stable disease, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) general sub-
scale scores improved in addition to positive, negative, and
cognitive subscale scores. However, this finding requires confirmation in a parallel comparison study. In addition, although sarcosine treatment can be beneficial for pa-
tients receiving either typical antipsychotic agents or a
atypical agent, risperidone,12 the effects of D-serine in pa-
tients taking risperidone or other newer antipsychotic drugs
have not yet been explored. To maximize the treatment
effect of acutely exacerbated schizophrenia, we con-
ducted this placebo-controlled efficacy and safety study to
compare a GlyT-1 inhibitor, sarcosine, and an NMDA-
glycine site agonist, D-serine, while patients received op-
timal risperidone therapy.

**METHODS**

**PATIENTS**

This study was initiated and executed by the investigators in Tai-
wan. Patients were recruited from the inpatient units of China
Medical University and Taipei City Psychiatry Center, which are
major medical centers in Taiwan, between January 1, 2000, and
July 31, 2003. The research protocol was approved by the insti-
tutional review boards of these 2 institutions. All newly hospi-
talized schizophrenic patients with an acute exacerbation of psy-
chosis were screened and evaluated by the research psychiatrists.
The patients are ethnically Han Chinese. The Structured Clinical
Interview for DSM-IV13 was conducted for the diagnosis. Patients
entered into this study if they (1) were physically healthy and had
values that were in the reference range for all the laboratory as-
sessments (including urine and blood routine analyses, biochemi-

cal tests, and electrocardiography), (2) were aged 18 to 60 years,
(3) satisfied DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia,21 (4) had a mini-

**STUDY DESIGN AND INTERVENTION**

During the washout period, the participants were adminis-
tered placebo for up to 7 days, which could be shortened to a
minimum of 1 day to protect patients from decompensating psy-
chotic symptoms. All the patients were then randomly as-
signed under double-blind conditions to receive a 6-week trial
of placebo, 2 g of D-serine, or 2 g of sarcosine daily. Patients
were randomized in clusters of 6, without stratification, using
a computer-generated randomization table to receive placebo
or active drug in a 1:1:1 ratio. To ensure concealment of the
randomization assignment, medication was provided in coded
containers of identical-appearing capsules of placebo or active
drug. The research pharmacist implemented random allocation,
and masked treatment assignment was communicated by
telephone to study staff. Patients, caregivers, and investigators
(except the investigational pharmacist) were masked to the
assignment. The doses of both amino acids were equivalent to
those used in earlier studies12 that were effective for add-on
therapy in chronically stable patients. D-Serine and sarcosine
were provided by Natural Pharmacia International Inc (Bel-
mont). Purity of more than 99% was confirmed by high-
performance liquid chromatography. Placebo, D-serine, and sar-
cosine were packed with the same additives.
Risperidone therapy was also initiated concurrently for all
patients. The dose of this atypical antipsychotic agent was gradu-
ally titrated to the target dose of 6 mg/d (or lower in cases of
treatment-emergent adverse effects) in the first week. The dose
of risperidone could be adjusted on day 14 or on day 28 ac-
cording to drug adverse effects and clinical assessments (see the “Assessments” subsection). This dosing strategy for ris-
peridone was based on recent studies.22,23 For acutely exacer-
bated individuals, the mean end-point dosages in most studies
were 8 to 12 mg/d; another study24 used a fixed dose of 6 mg/d.
However, in previous studies25,26 in a similar population
we found that the dosing strategy to minimize adverse ef-
ffects can still yield favorable efficacy. Therefore, we applied
the same dosing strategy to obtain the optimal response to risperi-
done treatment in this study.
Lorazepam treatment was allowed as needed for insomnia
or agitation, and benzotropine treatment was allowed for extra-
pyramidal adverse effects. No other centrally acting drugs or
cytochrome P450 inducers (or inhibitors) that might interfere with risperidone’s metabolism25 were used. Patient compli-
ance and safety were closely monitored by the research psy-
chiatrists and the inpatient nursing staff.
The sample size was determined on the basis of the earlier studies conducted in chronically ill patients. Sixty-five schizophrenic patients were enrolled, and 57 patients completed the double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Three patients in the placebo group, 2 in the d-serine group, and 3 in the sarcosine group discontinued participation after the week 4 assessment owing to nonadherence to the protocol (Figure 1). The demographic characteristics of the patients are given in Table 1.

**ASSESSMENTS**

The primary outcome measures were psychopathologic changes measured by total scores on the PANSS and the Scales for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) (20 and 17 items). The 20-item SANS includes the attention subscale (with 2 items) and the incongruity of affect item. After removing these 3 items (not part of negative symptom construct), the 17-item SANS may better reflect the negative symptom profiles. A secondary analysis explored whether the positive results (if any) from the primary outcome measures were due to a general effect on all subscales or to an effect on a specific subscale.

The original PANSS contains 3 subscales: positive, negative, and general psychiatric symptoms. Further factor analyses, however, revealed 3 components: positive, negative, cognitive, depression, and excitement. The cognitive component consists of 5 items: conceptual disorganization, difficulty in abstract thinking, mannerism and posturing, disorientation, and lack of judgment and insight. In the present study, we thus used the 5 components plus general psychiatric symptoms as the secondary outcome variables for PANSS.

The SANS consists of 5 subscales: blunted affect, alogia, apathy, anhedonia/asociality, and attention. For the assessment of negative symptoms, we a priori chose SANS to avoid multiple comparisons because in the earlier d-cycloserine trial, the SANS seemed to be more sensitive than the PANSS-negative. This strategy for measuring negative symptoms is the same as that used in previous studies of NMDA-enhancing agents. Nevertheless, we also present the findings in PANS-negative scores. We also a priori defined marked response as a 30% or more reduction in the PANSS total score. The reason we chose such a rigorous criterion is to find a strategy of pharmacotherapy that can produce marked improvement and a better long-term prognosis.

Adverse effect assessments included the Simpson-Angus Rating Scale for extrapyramidal adverse effects, the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale for dyskinesia, and the Barnes Akathisia Scale. Systemic adverse effects of treatments were evaluated by means of routine physical and neurologic examinations and laboratory tests and were reviewed by applying the Udvalg for Kliniske Undersogelser (UKU) Side Effect Rating Scale.

Clinical ratings were performed by research psychiatrists trained and experienced in the rating scales. Interrater reliability was analyzed using the analysis of variance test. Only raters reaching the intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.90 or higher reached the intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.90 or higher

---

**Table 1. Demographic, Schizophrenic, and Treatment Characteristics of the 65 Patients Assigned to Receive Placebo, d-Serine, or Sarcosine and Optimal Risperidone Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Sarcosine (n = 21)</th>
<th>d-Serine (n = 21)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 23)</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, No. (%)</td>
<td>7 (33)</td>
<td>11 (52)</td>
<td>11 (48)</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>36.1 (10.2)</td>
<td>31.8 (10.4)</td>
<td>34.1 (8.7)</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>10.6 (3.1)</td>
<td>10.9 (3.0)</td>
<td>10.8 (2.6)</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset of psychosis, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>25.5 (6.7)</td>
<td>24.3 (7.0)</td>
<td>22.6 (6.8)</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals, mean (SD), No.</td>
<td>1.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.9 (2.3)</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia subtype, No. (%)</td>
<td>Paranoid 15 (71)</td>
<td>14 (67)</td>
<td>14 (61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disorganized</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undifferentiated</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotic drug treatment before this hospitalization, No. (%)</td>
<td>Never treated</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discontinued by patients</td>
<td>13 (62)</td>
<td>10 (48)</td>
<td>15 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regularly treated</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo lead-in period, mean (SD), d</td>
<td>4.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risperidone dose, mean (SD), mg</td>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>4.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>4.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 28</td>
<td>3.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 42</td>
<td>3.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By Kruskal-Wallis test or \( \chi^2 \) test as appropriate.
The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients were similar in the 3 groups (Table 1). Of the 65 patients, 13 had never received pharmacotherapy, 38 had discontinued typical antipsychotic drug therapy by themselves before this hospitalization, and 14 had acute exacerbations even while taking typical antipsychotic agents and required a pharmacotherapy change for this study. The frequency distributions were similar among the groups (Table 1). The symptom severity of the patients was similar to that in the clinical trials of schizophrenia with acute exacerbation.

**CLINICAL OUTCOMES**

Clinical changes in the primary and secondary outcomes are given in **Table 2** and **Table 3**. For the primary outcome measures, in terms of the PANSS performance, the sarcosine group showed better response than the other 2 groups (Table 3). The sarcosine group revealed greater reductions in PANSS-total scores compared with the placebo group (\(t = -1.99; P = .04\)) and the D-serine group (\(t = -3.44; P < .001\)). As for the SANS performance, the sarcosine group was also superior to the other 2 groups (Table 3). Sarcosine adjunctive treatment was superior to placebo in reducing PANSS-20 (\(t = -2.71; P = .007\)) and SANS-17 (\(t = -2.99; P = .003\)) total scores and to D-serine in decreasing SANS-20 (\(t = -2.78; P = .006\)) and SANS-17 (\(t = -3.08; P = .002\)) total scores. However, co-administration of D-serine and risperidone was not significantly different from risperidone monotherapy in all of the primary clinical measures (PANSS-total, SANS-20, and SANS-17) (Table 3).

To compare the primary treatment effects among the 3 treatment groups on days 7, 14, 28, and 42, the mixed-effects method was also used (with intercept as the random-effects term to adjust patient effects) to examine the significance of the treatment \(\times\) time interaction while controlling for the effects of treatment and time. Compared with the placebo group, the sarcosine group showed significantly greater reductions in PANSS total scores on days 14, 28, and 42; in SANS-20 scores on days 14, 28, and 42; and in SANS-17 scores on days 14, 28, and 42. Sarcosine adjunctive treatment also surpassed D-serine use in reducing PANSS total scores at all times; SANS-20 scores on days 14, 28, and 42; and SANS-17 scores at all times (data not shown).

Because there were no significant differences between D-serine and placebo in terms of the primary outcomes, the comparisons in secondary outcomes focused on D-serine vs sarcosine and on placebo vs sarcosine and excluded D-serine vs placebo. For the secondary outcome measures of the PANSS, the sarcosine group had greater reductions than the placebo group in PANSS-general (\(t = 3.11; P = .002\)), PANSS-cognitive (\(t = 2.60; P = .01\)), and PANSS-depression (\(t = 2.60; P = .01\)) scores but not PANSS-positive (\(t = -0.17; P = .87\)) or PANSS-negative (\(t = 1.65; P = .10\)) scores using the mixed-effects models (Table 3). Sarcosine adjunctive treatment also surpassed D-serine in reducing PANSS-general (\(t = 3.40; P < .001\)), PANSS-positive (\(t = 2.07; P = .04\)), PANSS-negative (\(t = 2.73; P = .001\)), and PANSS-depression (\(t = 3.41; P < .001\)) scores but not PANSS-cognitive scores (\(t = 1.57; P = .12\)) (Table 3). The scores in the PANSS-excitement factor, however, were nonnormally distributed and, thus, were unsuitable for traditional regression analyses such as mixed-effects models. Instead, Mann-Whitney tests were used, yielding no significant differences for placebo vs sarcosine and for D-serine vs sarcosine (Table 3).

To compare treatment effects in terms of secondary outcome measures of PANSS on days 7, 14, 28, and 42, respectively, the mixed-effects method was used. Com-
pared with the sarcosine group, the placebo group showed significantly smaller reductions in PANSS-general psychiatric symptom scores at all assessment points (P = .01 for day 7, P = .004 for day 14, P = .004 for day 28, and P = .005 for day 42); in PANSS-negative scores on day 42 (P = .01); in PANSS-cognitive scores on days 14 (P = .005), 28 (P = .005), and 42 (P = .006); and in PANSS-depression scores on days 14 (P = .04), 28 (P = .02), and 42 (P = .02), but not in PANSS-positive scores at all points (data not shown). Sarcosine adjunctive treatment also surpassed d-serine in reducing PANSS-general psychiatric symptom scores at all points (P = .003 for day 7, P = .001 for day 14, P = .003 for day 28, and P = .03 for day 42), in PANSS-positive scores on days 14 (P = .009) and 42.

### Table 2. Clinical Measures for the 6-Week Placebo-Controlled Sarcosine and d-Serine Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale†</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>Week 4</th>
<th>Week 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-total</td>
<td>80.7 ± 10.4</td>
<td>74.6 ± 12.6</td>
<td>68.6 ± 12.7</td>
<td>65.2 ± 12.4</td>
<td>64.1 ± 11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-20</td>
<td>38.7 ± 15.4</td>
<td>36.3 ± 16.1</td>
<td>33.8 ± 14.4</td>
<td>31.6 ± 13.3</td>
<td>30.9 ± 12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-17</td>
<td>33.5 ± 13.8</td>
<td>32.1 ± 14.4</td>
<td>30.6 ± 13.1</td>
<td>28.9 ± 11.6</td>
<td>28.7 ± 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sarcosine Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-total</td>
<td>86.5 ± 11.4</td>
<td>76.4 ± 12.4</td>
<td>69.0 ± 11.6</td>
<td>65.7 ± 12.4</td>
<td>65.1 ± 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-20</td>
<td>45.6 ± 11.9</td>
<td>39.6 ± 12.5</td>
<td>34.8 ± 12.4</td>
<td>32.8 ± 13.4</td>
<td>32.6 ± 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-17</td>
<td>40.4 ± 10.9</td>
<td>36.4 ± 12.3</td>
<td>32.8 ± 12.3</td>
<td>30.7 ± 12.8</td>
<td>29.8 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d-Serine Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-total</td>
<td>82.2 ± 12.8</td>
<td>79.0 ± 15.5</td>
<td>76.3 ± 16.5</td>
<td>69.3 ± 13.9</td>
<td>68.0 ± 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-20</td>
<td>49.3 ± 21.7</td>
<td>47.8 ± 21.2</td>
<td>46.9 ± 20.7</td>
<td>41.7 ± 19.9</td>
<td>41.8 ± 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-17</td>
<td>42.9 ± 19.5</td>
<td>42.9 ± 18.9</td>
<td>42.0 ± 18.3</td>
<td>38.4 ± 17.8</td>
<td>38.5 ± 17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-general</td>
<td>34.8 ± 5.3</td>
<td>32.7 ± 6.6</td>
<td>30.1 ± 6.0</td>
<td>28.7 ± 5.9</td>
<td>28.6 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-positive</td>
<td>13.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>12.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-negative</td>
<td>19.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>18.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>17.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>17.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>16.7 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-cognitive</td>
<td>13.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>12.6 ± 4.0</td>
<td>12.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>11.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>10.8 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-affect</td>
<td>7.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td>7.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>7.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>6.9 ± 4.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-alogia</td>
<td>5.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>5.7 ± 4.7</td>
<td>5.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>5.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-apathy</td>
<td>7.9 ± 3.9</td>
<td>7.3 ± 4.1</td>
<td>6.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>5.5 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-anhedonia</td>
<td>13.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>12.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>11.7 ± 4.0</td>
<td>11.6 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-attention</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sarcosine Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-general</td>
<td>39.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>33.3 ± 6.2</td>
<td>30.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>28.9 ± 5.1</td>
<td>29.0 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-positive</td>
<td>13.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>10.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-negative</td>
<td>21.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>19.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>18.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>17.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>16.9 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-cognitive</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>12.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>11.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-affect</td>
<td>12.4 ± 4.9</td>
<td>10.9 ± 4.7</td>
<td>9.3 ± 4.5</td>
<td>8.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>7.9 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-alogia</td>
<td>7.5 ± 3.7</td>
<td>6.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>5.2 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-apathy</td>
<td>8.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>7.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>7.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>5.8 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-anhedonia</td>
<td>12.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>12.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>11.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>11.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td>11.4 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-attention</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d-Serine Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-general</td>
<td>35.7 ± 6.6</td>
<td>34.1 ± 7.5</td>
<td>32.8 ± 7.9</td>
<td>29.6 ± 6.4</td>
<td>28.6 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-positive</td>
<td>12.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>9.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-negative</td>
<td>21.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>21.3 ± 6.3</td>
<td>21.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>19.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>19.1 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-cognitive</td>
<td>15.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>14.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>13.4 ± 4.5</td>
<td>12.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-depression</td>
<td>9.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>9.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-affect</td>
<td>8.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>7.1 ± 4.0</td>
<td>6.8 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-apathy</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>8.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>7.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>6.9 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-anhedonia</td>
<td>12.4 ± 3.9</td>
<td>12.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>12.2 ± 3.7</td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>11.7 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-attention</td>
<td>4.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; SANS, Scales for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms.

*Data are given as mean ± SD.
†See the “Assessments” subsection of the “Methods” section for SANS-20, SANS-17, and the PANSS and SANS subscales.
Primary outcomes

- **PANSS-total**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $t = -1.99, P = .04$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $t = -2.71, P = .007$; D-Serine vs Sarcosine, $t = -2.99, P = .003$
- **SANS-20**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $P = .09; .93$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $P = -.08, .78$; D-Serine vs Sarcosine, $P = -.08, .02$

Secondary outcomes

- **PANSS-general**: Sarcosine vs Placebo, $z = 3.11^†; P = .002$; Sarcosine vs D-Serine, $z = 3.40^†; P < .001$
- **PANSS-positive**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = -0.17^†; P = .87$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 2.07^†; P = .004$
- **PANSS-negative**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 1.65^†; P = .10$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 3.27^†; P < .001$
- **PANSS-cognitive**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 2.60^†; P = .01$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 1.57^†; P = .12$
- **PANSS-depression**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 2.60^†; P = .01$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 3.41^†; P < .001$
- **PANSS-excitement**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 0.56^§; P = .57$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 0.22^§; P = .83$
- **SANS—blunted affect**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 2.39^†; P = .02$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 1.47^†; P = .14$
- **SANS—alogia**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 2.98^†; P = .003$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 1.66^†; P = .10$
- **SANS—apathy**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 1.12^†; P = .23$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 1.82§; P = .07$
- **SANS—anhedonia**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 0.45^‡; P = .65$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 1.77§; P = .08$
- **SANS—attention**: Placebo vs Sarcosine, $z = 0.09^‡; P = .93$; Placebo vs D-Serine, $z = 0.45‡; P = .66$

---

**ADVERSE EFFECTS**

All 3 treatment groups had minimal extrapyramidal symptoms at the beginning of the study. The mean ± SD baseline scores were similar in the 3 groups on the Simpson-Angus Rating Scale (sarcosine group, $0.4 ± 1.2$; d-serine group, $0.4 ± 1.2$; and placebo group, $0.1 ± 0.6$; $P = .74$), the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (sarcosine group, $0.2 ± 0.9$; d-serine group, $0.1 ± 0.4$; and placebo group, $0.2 ± 0.9$).
the sarcosine group, 4 in the D-serine group, and 4 in the placebo group received benztropine for extrapyramidal adverse effects. The mean ± SD end-point dosages of lorazepam (0.6 ± 1.0 mg/d in the sarcosine group, 0.8 ± 0.9 mg/d in the D-serine group, and 1.1 ± 1.2 mg/d in the placebo group) or benztropine (0.6 ± 2.2 mg/d in the sarcosine group, 0.5 ± 1.4 mg/d in the D-serine group, and 0.4 ± 1.0 mg/d in the placebo group) did not differ significantly among groups either.

Treatment-emergent adverse events other than extrapyramidal symptoms were also similar in the 3 groups (Table 4). These systemic adverse effects were all short-lived and did not warrant medical treatment. Routine blood cell counts, chemistry test results, and electrocardiographic findings after treatment remained unchanged and were all within the reference ranges (data not shown). No dropout was due to adverse effects.

Three patients in the sarcosine group, 4 in the D-serine group, and 2 in the placebo group received lorazepam for agitation during the trial ($\chi^2=0.99; P=.61$). At the end point, 9 patients in the sarcosine group, 11 in the D-serine group, and 12 in the placebo group received lorazepam for insomnia ($\chi^2=0.50; P=.77$), and 3 patients in the sarcosine group, 4 in the D-serine group, and 4 in the placebo group received benztropine for extrapyramidal adverse effects ($\chi^2=0.18; P=.91$). The mean ± SD end-point dosages of lorazepam (0.6 ± 1.0 mg/d in the sarcosine group, 0.8 ± 0.9 mg/d in the D-serine group, and 1.1 ± 1.2 mg/d in the placebo group) or benztropine (0.6 ± 2.2 mg/d in the sarcosine group, 0.5 ± 1.4 mg/d in the D-serine group, and 0.4 ± 1.0 mg/d in the placebo group) did not differ significantly among groups either.

To our knowledge, this is the first study of the use of NMDA-enhancing agents for patients with acutely symptomatic schizophrenia. Our findings indicate that sarcosine, a GlyT-1 inhibitor, when combined with risperidone, can exert synergistic benefits for negative and other psychiatric symptoms in acutely symptomatic schizophrenia. In contrast, risperidone plus D-serine did not differ significantly from risperidone alone in the short-term treatment. Together with recent studies, the present study suggests that sarcosine can benefit not only patients with long-term stable disease but also acutely ill persons with schizophrenia (Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 2). However, D-serine at a dosage of 2 g/d is efficacious only for patients with long-term stable disease.

Acute exacerbation of schizophrenia is a common clinical challenge. The therapeutic approach of dopamine subtype II receptor/serotonin subtype II receptor (D2/SHT2) antagonists plus NMDA-enhancing agents can provide additional benefits not only for symptom reduction during the acute phase but also for the possibility that schizophrenic psychosis can be a detrimental biopsychosocial process. However, clinicians should not treat patients with these agents until investigational trials are completed.

To date, few data are available for comparisons among NMDA-enhancing agents. We compared the 2 NMDA receptor-enhancing agents sarcosine and D-serine in a 6-week add-on design. The results suggest that GlyT-1 may be a more effective target to enhance NMDA function than the NMDA-glycine site. This difference may be due to the fact that sarcosine acts by blocking the re-uptake of released glycine, whereas NMDA-glycine site agonists tonically stimulate the receptor. Also, transporter inhibitors may be more efficacious than the transmitter itself. Similarly, serotonin transporter inhibitors are superior to tryptophan (a neurotransmitter precursor, albeit not a neurotransmitter) for the treatment of depression. We compared only 1 dose of D-serine and sarcosine; higher doses of D-serine may be needed to activate the NMDA receptor to curtail the acute schizophrenia psychosis than for the chronic symptoms. In addition, the negative symptoms of the acutely exacerbated patients in this study were not as severe as those in the previous studies for chronically ill patients. The limited level of negative symptoms at baseline may also help explain the limited negative symptom response in the D-serine group.

The pharmacokinetic interaction of sarcosine or D-serine with risperidone is unclear. The greater efficacy of sarcosine can be due to a favorable kinetic interaction between sarcosine and risperidone. However, the optimal doses of risperidone were similar across the 3 treatment groups, and the risperidone doses used to achieve optimal response in the study of acute schizophrenia were similar to those used in the study of chronic stable disease. Furthermore, there is no correlation between the risperidone doses and any outcome measure in the sarcosine group. It is, therefore, unlikely that the synergistic effect of sarcosine is due to a pharmacokinetic effect on risperidone. For chronically ill schizophrenic patients, add-on sarcosine treatment, similar to D-serine treatment, im-

### Table 4. Adverse Events Other Than Extrapyramidal Symptoms During the Study*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event</th>
<th>Sarcosine Group</th>
<th>D-Serine Group</th>
<th>Placebo Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigability</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthostatic dizziness</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersomnia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All $P$ values are not significant for comparisons among the 3 study groups. Systemic adverse effects of treatments were reviewed by applying the Udvalg for Kliniske Undersøgelser Side Effect Rating Scale."
proves positive and negative symptoms for patients receiving stable doses of risperidone. A recent study of high-dose glycine treatment (0.8 g/kg per day) also suggests that glycine can improve positive symptoms in patients stabilized with risperidone or clozapine. However, for acutely symptomatic patients in the present study, sarcosine and D-serine did not yield extra benefits for positive symptoms when combined with optimal risperidone therapy. The limited sample size may contribute to the lack of positive symptom effect of sarcosine in the present study. In contrast, the short-term study patients may have responded to risperidone alone, and a “floor effect” can prevent further improvement by the NMDA agents.

The NMDA neurotransmission regulates synaptic plasticity, memory, and cognition. This cognition-enhancing effect is also supported by the positive findings of a D-serine study, which applied the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. Consistent with this, patients taking sarcosine improved significantly in their cognitive symptoms as measured by the PANS (see the definition in the “Assessments” subsection of the “Methods” section) (Table 3), as in the D-serine trial and the 2 glycine trials in long-term patients. Nevertheless, cognitive function as inferred by the neuropsychologic testing of various attention, memory, executive, and information-processing functions needs to be studied in detail before any conclusion can be drawn for the therapeutic effect of NMDA-enhancing agents on cognitive function and long-term functional outcome.

In patients with long-term stable schizophrenia, sarcosine, compared with NMDA-glycine site agonists, showed additional therapeutic effects beyond the core symptoms of schizophrenia; the PANSS-general symptoms improved too. Although recent studies demonstrated that high-dose glycine treatment (0.8 g/kg per day) can also decrease the PANSS-general subscale score, the present study, with a head-to-head comparison design, lends support to the notion that sarcosine may be superior to NMDA-glycine site agonists in this domain. Earlier, high-dose glycine treatment, compared with placebo, also resulted in a significant reduction in PANSS-depressive scores in patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia. The results of the present study further suggest that sarcosine may exert better efficacy for depressive symptoms in acutely ill patients with schizophrenia than not only placebo but also D-serine. However, more studies with higher doses of D-serine or other agents, such as glycine or D-cycloserine, are required to confirm that the GlyT-1 agent is in fact superior to NMDA-glycine site agonists.

In patients with long-term stable disease, sarcosine or D-serine did not worsen the adverse effects of other antipsychotic agents, which are mediated by D2, 5-HT2, histamine, and muscarinic receptors. The present study replicated these findings. The few adverse effects reported by patients were minimal, resolved spontaneously, and did not differ significantly among groups.

Sarcosine is a naturally occurring amino acid in humans. The toxicologic properties of sarcosine have not been thoroughly investigated. Sarcosine dehydrogenase is a mitochondrial matrix flavoenzyme expressed in brain and liver to demethylate sarcosine. The enzyme is defective in patients with sarcosinemia, a rare autosomal metabolic defect characterized by elevated levels of sarcosine in blood and urine. Supporting the safety of using sarcosine as a long-term therapeutic agent of enhancing NMDA neurotransmission, sarcosinemia is generally benign, and the phenotype of sarcosine dehydrogenase mutant mice is unremarkable. However, the GlyT-1 homologous knockout mice do not survive. It was suggested that the complete blockade of GlyT-1 is toxic for rodent development owing to the excessive inhibitory glycineric drive to the respiratory neurons. A thorough human toxicology study, therefore, is necessary. In rodents, D-serine selectively damages renal proximal tubule cells. In humans, toxicologic profiles of D-serine, however, have not been fully understood. However, D-serine at a dose of 30 mg/kg was not toxic to patients with chronic schizophrenia in the previous trial. The species difference in renal toxicity can be due to the high D-amino acid activity in the rodent kidney, which generates hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals.

The present study was limited by its sample size and a fixed-dose comparison without dose-finding trials. The definitive effects of GlyT-1 inhibitors vs NMDA-glycine site agonists and their clinical application require further studies. However, this study indicates that sarcosine, a GlyT-1 inhibitor, may be more efficacious than NMDA-glycine site agonists for adjuvant treatment of schizophrenia, at least during the acute phase. The evidence most strongly supports the benefit of sarcosine for general psychiatric symptoms and depression and a possible benefit for negative symptoms (blunted affect and alogia) but not for positive symptoms during the acute phase. Potentiation of NMDA neurotransmission by the inhibition of GlyT-1 may represent a novel therapeutic approach that is worthy of further investigation. Maximization of pharmacotherapeutic effects during acute psychosis of schizophrenia can be achieved by combination treatment with atypical antipsychotic drugs and the GlyT-1 inhibitor.
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