Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review)

Bar-On ES, Goldberg E, Fraser A, Vidal L, Hellmann S, Leibovici L



This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2009, Issue 3

http://www.thecochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	2
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	3
RESULTS	5
Figure 1	11
Figure 2	12
DISCUSSION	13
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	14
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	14
REFERENCES	14
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	17
DATA AND ANALYSES	29
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 1 Anti PRP titres below the assav cutoff 0.15 µg/ml.	31
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 2 Anti PRP titres below the assav cutoff 1.0 ug/ml.	32
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines.	
Outcome 3 Anti-FHA (Filamentous haemagglutinin).	33
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines.	00
Outcome 4 Anti-PRN (Pertactin)	34
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines.	01
Outcome 5 Anti-HBV (Henstitis B)	35
Analysis 1.6 Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines	57
Outcome 6 Anti-BPT (Pertussis)	36
Analysis 1.7 Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines	50
Outcome 7 Anti-D (Dinhtheria)	37
Analysis 1.8 Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines	57
Outcome 8 Apri T (Tetapus)	30
Analysis 1.9 Comparison 1 DTP HBV HIB vaccine versus senarately administered DTP HBV and HIB vaccines	57
Outcome 9 DTPa Anti polio type 1 below the access cutoff 1.8 II/mI	40
Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1. DTD HBV HIB vaccine versus constrately administered DTD HBV and HIB vaccines	40
Outcome 10 DTPs Anti-nolis true 2 holow the accur with 1.8 IU/mI	<i>(</i> 1
Auchaine 10 DTPa Anti-poilo type 2 below the assay cutoff 1:8 10/mL.	41
Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 DTP-IDV-IID vaccine versus separately administered DTP-IDV and IID vaccines,	40
Auchaine 11 D Tra Anti-pono type 3 below the assay cutoff 1:8 10/mL.	42
Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 D11-rib v-rib vaccine versus separately administered D11-rib v and rib vaccines,	62
Auchained 12 Serious adverse events.	43
Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	<i>. . .</i>
	44
Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	15
	45
Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 15 Swelling.	46
Analysis 1.16. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 16 Fever	48
Analysis 1.17. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 17 Fussiness or restlessness.	49
Analysis 1.18. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 18 Drowsiness.	50
Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria,	i
tetenus neuturais henetitis R and Hennenhilus influences R (HIR) (Review)	

tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Ourcome 19 Irritability or tenderness	51
Analysis 1.20. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 20 Poor appetite.	52
Analysis 1.21. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 21 Vomiting	53
Analysis 1.22. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 22 Diarrhea	54
Analysis 1.23. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 23 Unusual crying	55
Analysis 1.24. Comparison 1 DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines,	
Outcome 24 Sleeping more than usual	56
APPENDICES	56
HISTORY	57
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	57
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	57
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	57
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	57

ii

[Intervention Review]

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Edna S Bar-On¹, Elad Goldberg¹, Abigail Fraser², Liat Vidal¹, Sarah Hellmann¹, Leonard Leibovici¹

¹Department of Medicine E, Beilinson Campus, Rabin Medical Center, Petah-Tiqva, Israel. ²Department of Social Medicine, MRC Centre for Causal Analysis in Translational Epidemiology, University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Bristol, UK

Contact address: Edna S Bar-On, Department of Medicine E, Beilinson Campus, Rabin Medical Center, 39 Jabotinsky Street, Petah-Tiqva, 49100, Israel. baroned@013.net.il. Ednab@clalit.org.il, edna_20042000@yahoo.com. (Editorial group: Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections Group.)

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 3, 2009 (Status in this issue: New) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005530.pub2 This version first published online: 8 July 2009 in Issue 3, 2009.

Last assessed as up-to-date: 5 March 2009. (Help document - Dates and Statuses explained)

This record should be cited as: Bar-On ES, Goldberg E, Fraser A, Vidal L, Hellmann S, Leibovici L. Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD005530. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005530.pub2.

ABSTRACT

Background

Advantages to combining childhood vaccines include reducing the number of visits, injections and patient discomfort, increasing compliance, and optimizing prevention. The World Health Organization recommends that routine infant immunization programs include a vaccination against *Haemophilus influenza* type B (HIB) in the combined diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (DTP)-hepatitis B (HBV) vaccination. The effectiveness and safety of the combined vaccine should be carefully and systematically assessed to ensure their acceptability by the community.

Objectives

To compare the effectiveness of combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine with DTP-HBV and HIB vaccinations.

Search strategy

We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* 2009, issue 1) which contains the Acute Respiratory Infection Group's Specialized Register; MEDLINE (January 1966 to March 2009) and EMBASE (January 1990 to March 2009).

Selection criteria

Randomized or quasi-randomized controlled trials comparing vaccination with any combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine, with or without three types of inactivated poliovirus (IPV) or concomitant oral polio vaccine (OPV) in any dose, preparation or time schedule, compared with separate vaccines or placebo, administered to infants aged up to two years.

Data collection and analysis

Two review authors independently inspected references identified by the searches and evaluated them against the inclusion criteria, extracted data and assessed the methodological quality of included trials.

Main results

Meta-analysis was performed to pool the results of 18 studies. There were no data on clinical outcomes for the primary outcome and all studies used immunogenicity and reactogenicity (adverse events). In two immunological responses the combined vaccine achieved lower responses than the separate vaccines for HIB and HBV. Comparison found little heterogeneity. No significant differences in immunogenicity were found for pertussis, diphtheria, polio and tetanus. Serious adverse events were comparable. Minor adverse events were more common in children given the combined vaccine.

Authors' conclusions

We could not conclude that the immune responses elicited by the combined vaccine were different from, or equivalent to, the separate vaccines. Data for the primary outcome (prevention of disease) were lacking. There was significantly less immunological response for HIB and HBV, and more local reactions in the combined injections. However, these differences rely mostly on one study each. Studies did not use an intention-to-treat analysis and we were uncertain about the risk of bias in many of the studies. These results are therefore inconclusive. Studies addressing clinical end-points whenever possible, using correct methodology and a large enough sample size should be conducted.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and *Haemophilus influenzae* B in healthy infants up to two years of age

Childhood vaccinations provide an effective method of protection against many diseases. There are multiple advantages to combining vaccines: reducing the number of visits, injections and patient discomfort, increasing compliance, and optimizing prevention. The World Health Organization recommends that routine infant immunization programs include a vaccination against *Haemophilus influenzae* (*H. influenza*) type B (HIB) in the combined diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (DTP)-hepatitis B (HBV) vaccination. The effect of adding a conjugate HIB vaccination to the DTP-HBV vaccine, compared to a separate injection for preventing these diseases, has yet to be assessed.

The objective of this review was to compare the effectiveness of the combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine with the separate DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines. No data on clinical outcomes for the primary outcome was found. All included studies reported only on immunogenicity, defined as antibody concentration responses to tetanus, diphtheria, pertussis, hepatitis B and *H. influenzae* type B and reactogenicity, defined as systematic and local adverse events to vaccination.

Eighteen published randomized or quasi-randomized clinical trials, comparing vaccination with any combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine with or without three types of inactivated poliovirus (IPV) or concomitant oral polio vaccine (OPV) given in any dose, preparation or time schedule, compared with separate vaccines or placebo, administered to infants aged up to two years, were included. In two immunological responses the combined vaccine achieved lower responses than the separate vaccines for HIB and HBV. No significant differences in immunogenicity were found for pertussis, diphtheria, polio and tetanus. Serious adverse events were comparable. Minor adverse events were more common in children given the combined vaccine.

Overall, the level of evidence provided by the studies was low, and we could not conclude that the immune responses elicited by the combined vaccine are equivalent to the separate injections. The combined vaccine did not result in a significant increase in the incidence of serious adverse events, but caused more minor reactions.

Description of the intervention

BACKGROUND

Childhood vaccinations provide a clinically-effective and cost-ef-

fective method of protecting against many diseases. Combination vaccines have been in widespread use since the 1940s. Diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis (DTP) is one such vaccine and it is estimated that the DTP infant vaccine coverage exceeds 80% worldwide (Faingezicht 2002). There are multiple advantages to combining vaccines, for example, reducing the number of visits and injections, increasing compliance, reducing patient discomfort, optimizing prevention and reducing operational costs. This might not be the case in some countries like in the United States where combination vaccines are often more expensive than the separate components. Assessment of the immune responses to combination vaccines has generally been based on randomized controlled comparative trials. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommends that clinical trials compare the immune responses elicited by the combination vaccine versus separate injections or other appropriate controls. End points commonly used for evaluating combination vaccines include the percentage of people responding to an antigen with a predefined antibody level and the geometric mean concentration (GMC) or geometric mean titer (GMT) of antibodies elicited by the component (Ball 2001).

How the intervention might work

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that routine infant immunization programs include a vaccination against *Haemophilus influenzae* (*H. influenzae*) type B (HIB) in the combined DTP-HBV injection (WHO 1998). HIB is an important pathogen in both high and low income countries. The DTP-HBV combination vaccine would make an ideal partner for combining with HIB vaccines, because DTP is mandatory in most immunization programs, whereas the HB vaccine (HBV) is already in widespread use (Santos 2002).

Why it is important to do this review

The strategy of combining hepatitis B vaccination (HBV) with the DTP vaccine has already been adopted into immunization programs (Riedemann 2002). The effectiveness and safety of adding a conjugate HIB vaccination to the DTP-HBV vaccine, compared with separate administrations, for preventing these diseases has yet to be systematically assessed. The immunogenicity and reactogenicity (adverse events) results of five published clinical trials involving Trinanrix-HB/HIB in a variety of immunization schedules and countries were reviewed for its suitability for use in national immunization programs (Aristegui 2003). Despite its use in accordance with the WHO recommendation in several countries, no systematic review of the effectiveness and safety of the combined vaccine is available. The objective of the review is to assess the clinical protection, immunogenicity and reactogenicity (adverse events) of a combined DTP, applied to both DTPw (whole cell pertussis) and DTPa (acellular pertussis) vaccines, HBV and conjugate HIB vaccine, with or without three types of inactivated poliovirus (IPV) or concomitant oral polio vaccine (OPV), in comparison with separate vaccinations of DTP, HBV conjugate HIB, IPV and OPV, in infants up to two years of age.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or quasi-RCTs.

Types of participants

Healthy male and female infants aged up to two years.

Types of interventions

The interventions were vaccination with any combined DTP (applied to both DTPw and DTPa vaccines) -HBV-conjugate HIB vaccine with or without three types of inactivated poliovirus (IPV) or concomitant oral polio vaccine (OPV) given in any dose, preparation or time schedule, compared with separate vaccines or placebo, administered to infants aged up to two years. All studies identified tested the effectiveness of combined DTP-HBV-conjugate HIB vaccine.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

Incidence of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and *H. in-fluenzae* type B post-vaccination.

Secondary outcomes

Immunogenicity, (defined as antibody responses to tetanus, diphtheria, pertussis, hepatitis B and *H. influenzae* type B).

Systemic and local adverse events, including fever, pain, redness, swelling, irritability, drowsiness, loss of appetite, vomiting and more generalized and severe signs, including potential adverse events which have been hypothesized related to the vaccination.

OBJECTIVES

Search methods for identification of studies

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Electronic searches

We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* 2009, issue 1) which contains the Acute Respiratory Infection Group's Specialized Register; MEDLINE (January 1966 to March 2009) and EMBASE (January 1990 to March 2009).

The following terms were used to search CENTRAL and MED-LINE. The search strategy was combined with the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy for identifying randomized trials in MEDLINE: sensitivity-maximizing version (2008 revision); Ovid format (Lefebvre 2008). There were no language or publication restrictions.

MEDLINE (OVID)

1 Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis Vaccine/ 2 Diphtheria-Tetanus-acellular Pertussis Vaccines/ 3 (diphtheria and tetanus and pertussis).mp. 4 (dtp* or dtap*).tw. 5 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 6 exp Haemophilus Vaccines/ 7 exp Haemophilus influenzae type b/ 8 exp HAEMOPHILUS/ 9 (haemophilus or hemophilus).mp. 10 Hib.mp. 11 or/6-10 12 exp Hepatitis B Vaccines/ 13 exp Hepatitis B/ 14 (hepatitis b or HBV).mp. 15 or/12-14 16 5 and 11 and 15

Searching other resources

We modified the above terms and filter developed by Wong (Wong 2006) to fit with the Embase.com interface (see Appendix 1). In addition, we scrutinized clinical practice guideline reference lists to identify further trials. We also checked relevant RCT references for additional studies. We looked for eligible titles and abstracts in electronic search results and obtained the full text of articles we identified as potentially eligible. The bibliographies of all included studies and pertinent reviews were scanned for additional references.

We searched the following conference proceedings for unpublished trials: Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC) 1995 to 2006 (available at http://www.icaac.org/icaacarch.asp); European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 2001 to 2006 (available at http://www.akm.ch); and the Annual Meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) 2001 to 2006 (available at http://www.idsociety.org/).

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors (ESB, EG) independently inspected references identified by the searches and evaluated them against the inclusion criteria. Disagreements in the selection of relevant studies were resolved by consensus. For possible relevant articles, or in cases of disagreement between the two review authors, the full article was obtained and inspected independently by the two review authors. A third review author (LL) was consulted in cases of continued disagreement. The reasons for excluding studies are detailed.

Data extraction and management

Two review authors (EB, EG) independently performed the data extraction and assessed the methodological quality of each included trial. Per each treatment group, the data collected was as follows:

- Intervention characteristics (vaccination type, manufacturer, number of doses, schedule);

- Characteristics of trial (publication year, start date, end date, study design, country where trial was preformed, data collection method, location of trial, date evaluated);

- Quality assessment (blinding, unit of allocation, allocation generation, allocation concealment);

- Case definitions - characteristics of participants (exclusion, inclusion, age, number randomized);

- Outcomes:

Immunogenicity - antibody concentrations by serological analysis Number participated, exclusion (post-random = evaluated for serology), number with antibody concentrations above the assay cut-offs (PRP-polyribsylribitolphosphate, PRP-T (vaccine conjugated to tetanus toxoid), FHA -filamentous hemagglutinin, PRN

- pertactin, BPT - Pertussis (PTox pertussis toxin, *Bordetella pertussis (B. pertussis)*, HBs - Hepatitis B, D-Diphtheria, T-Tetanus, Polio type 1, Polio type 2, Polio type 3).

Reactogenicity - adverse events

Number of vaccines, number of participants and number of events (serious adverse events; pain; redness; swelling; fever (temperature); fussiness or restlessness; poor appetite; vomiting; irritability or tenderness; diarrhea; unusual crying; sleeping more than usual).

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

We used an individual component approach to quality assessment (Chalmers 1990) with the following variables: generation of the allocation sequence, allocation concealment and blinding and intention-to-treat analysis. Allocation concealment and generation have been graded as

A - adequate measures to conceal allocation (central randomization; numbered or coded bottles or containers; drugs prepared by the pharmacy; serially numbered sealed opaque envelopes; other convincing),

B - no report of allocation concealment or not A/C,

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review)

Copyright $\textcircled{\sc c}$ 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

C - Inadequate concealment of allocation (non-concealed table, non-opaque envelopes),

A/B - sealed envelopes, opaque not mentioned.

Assessment of bias was performed through sensitivity analyses for allocation concealment, based on previous evidence showing overestimation of effects with inadequate allocation concealment (Schulz 1955). Studies with a dropout rate above 30% were excluded unless an intention-to-treat analysis was possible for any outcome. Information was recorded in a pre-designed data extraction form.

Unit of analysis issues

No studies were found reporting on the main outcome, i.e. incidence of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and *H. influenzae* type post-vaccination. All studies reported on immunogenicity, defined as antibody concentration responses to tetanus, diphtheria, pertussis, hepatitis B and *H. influenzae* type B. Meta-analysis was performed to pool the results of 17 studies. Vaccine immunogenicity was analyzed in sub-categories, according to two types of pertussis vaccination: acellular pertussis (DTPa) and whole cell pertussis (DTPw).

Infants with no seroprotective antibody titers (with titers below the assay cutoff or without seroconversion) were defined as events. Studies reported combined inactivated polio virus (IPV) in the DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine and oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) administered concurrently, and therefore we included results of antipolio type 1, 2 and 3. Reactogenicity (adverse events) were analyzed by events of total symptom scores (incidence of any solicited local and systemic adverse events). Serious adverse events reported by investigators included pain, redness, swelling, fever (temperature), fussiness or restlessness, poor appetite, vomiting, irritability or tenderness, diarrhea, unusual crying, or sleeping more than usual.

Data synthesis

Dichotomous data were analyzed by calculating the relative risk (RR) for each trial with the uncertainty in each result expressed using 95% confidence intervals (CI). Heterogeneity in the results of the trials was initially assessed by inspection of graphical presentations and by calculating an estimate of heterogeneity (chi square, I-square (I²) statistic). A random-effects model was used throughout the review. Data were pooled, stratifying for number of doses received. Sensitivity analysis was performed in order to assess the impact of possible sources of heterogeneity in the main results. A funnel plot estimating the precision of trials (the inverse of the standard error plotted against relative risk) was examined in order to estimate potential selection bias (publication or other). Asymmetry of the funnel plot has been formally expressed using the method described by Egger (Egger 1997).

RESULTS

Description of studies

See: Characteristics of included studies; Characteristics of excluded studies.

Results of the search

We identified 199 studies and 52 of these were considered potentially eligible.

Included studies

Eighteen studies were included. Two different types of pertussis vaccination were used in the studies. Nine studies used acellular pertussis (DTPa) and nine studies used whole cell pertussis (DTPw). In five studies IPV was combined with DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine (Aristegui 2003; Avdicova 2002; Gabutti 2004; Mallet 2000; Schmitt 2000), while three studies reported oral poliovirus vaccine administered to all vaccinees in both groups concurrently (Nolan 2001; Omenaca 2001; Pichichero 1997).

Excluded studies

Thirty-four studies were excluded. Four studies were not true RCTs: one (Kalies 2004) was an observational study; one trial was a single group design (Lopez 2002), one was a presentation of data from investigations on the nature and function of anti-Hib antibodies (Poolman 2001) and one was a report of four primary and booster-based pediatric clinical trials (Denoel 2007).

Six trials compared two different types of combined vaccines (Aristegui 2001; Gatchalian 2005; Gylca 2001; Scheifele 2006; Tichmann 2005; Tichmann-Schumann 2005).

Three trials compared combined DTP/HIB and separate DTP + HIB vaccination without HBV (Botet-Asensi 2003; Calbo 2002; Huang 1998).

One trial compared combined DTPa-HBV-IPV with separate DTPa-HBV and IPV vaccines (Meriste 2006).

Two trials compared combined DTPw-HepB-Hib vaccine with separately administered DTPw-Hib and hepatitis B vaccines (Kanra 2006, Lim 2007)).

One trial compared between primary and booster combined vaccines (Hla 2006).

One trial compared the fourth dose of combined DTPa-IPV/PRP-T with the third dose of combined vaccine (Scheifele 2005).

One trial compared combined DTPa-HBV-IPV-Hib vaccine and PCV7 vaccine with DTPa-HBV-IPV-Hib (Knuf 2006).

One trial compared three lots of *H. influenzae* type B conjugate vaccines (Aristegui 1998).

One study compared Lot-to-Lot consistency of combined vaccines and not with separate vaccines (Lagos 2005).

One trial compared a new combined DTPw-HBV/HIB vaccine of HIB Lot 001A44 to HIB Lot 002A41 (Usonis 1999b).

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review)

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

One trial was a comparison between a five-component pertussis combination vaccine CPDT-IPV/PRP-T to that of whole cell pertussis combination vaccine DPT-IPV/PRP-T (Mills 1998).

One trial compared five-component vaccine DTPa-HepB-IPV-PCV-7 and Hib with separate vaccines concurrently or staggered (delayed) administration of PCV-7 (Pichichero 2007).

We excluded another two trials that compared novel and local licensed DTPw/HIB vaccines (Clemens 2003) and the reactogenicity (adverse events) and immunogenicity of four commercial HIB vaccines (Usonis 1999a). Another five trials that had no comparison between vaccines were excluded (Bavdekar 2007; Hogg 2003; Pichichero 1999; Trollfors 2005; Zepp 1997). Three additional trials were excluded: in the first trial only data of safety and reactogenicity (adverse events) were provided (Zepp 2004) and the second trial included the same trials reported elsewhere while only safety data was provided (Saenger 2005). In the third excluded study, only data on antibody persistence (immunogenicity) of plain PRP and conjugate PRP-T was provided (Nolan 2004).

Risk of bias in included studies

Allocation

Allocation concealment

Only two of the studies reported adequate allocation concealment (Mallet 2000; Nolan 2001). One study reported inadequate allocation concealment (Bravo 1998).

Allocation generation

Only three studies reported adequate generation allocation (Faingezicht 2002; Nolan 2001; Omenaca 2001). One study reported randomization "in the order in which they enrolled between two groups", which was considered to be inadequate allocation generation (Bravo 1998).

Unit of allocation

All of the studies used infants or neonates as units of allocation.

Blinding

In one study where the term "double blind" was used, it is not clear who was blinded (Nolan 2001). One study reported that three different production lots of the combined vaccine were used in a double blind manner but not for the control group (Tregnaghi 2006). In six studies blinding of assessors and/or laboratory personnel was reported (Faingezicht 2002; Greenberg 2000; Mallet 2000; Nolan 2001; Pichichero 1997; Win 1997). Blinding of parents may not be relevant in the case of the infant's vaccination. Measurement of outcomes may not be influenced by the lack of blinding.

Other potential sources of bias

Intention-to-treat analysis

No study clearly mentioned that the intention-to-treat principle was used in the analysis. Most studies excluded participants from analysis if they were leaving the study area, were lost to follow up, had an unsatisfactory compliance or protocol violation, parental request or consent was withdrawn, or experienced unrelated medical problems or death.

Effects of interventions

Immunogenicity: antibody concentrations by serological analysis

Data were not stratified for number of doses received. Last dose of the vaccines was extracted, excluding a booster dose.

Anti-PRP (HIB) titers below the assay cutoff 0.15 µg/ml

Three studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines and three studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. Four studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB and four studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB reported no events. No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.35, 95% CI 0.55 to 3.32) . No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.42, 95% CI 0.10 to 1.70) . Significant difference was found (RR 2.73, 95% CI 1.19 to 6.22) between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines.

Anti-PRP (HIB) titers below the assay cutoff 1.0 µg/ml

Eight studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines and five studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines reported on this outcome. No significant difference was found between combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.26, 95% CI 0.79 to 2.01). No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.77, 95% CI 0.39 to 1.52). A significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 2.09, 95% CI 1.20 to 3.64). For the DTPa-HBV-HIB comparison we found little heterogeneity, $I^2 = 33\%$.

Exclusion of Pichichero 1997, which donated most of the outcomes, resulted in a point estimate still in favor of the separate vaccines, but no longer significant in a random-effects model, RR 1.67, 95% CI 0.85 to 3.28 for the DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines. However there is no significant heterogeneity for this comparison, ($\chi 2$ =6.3, d.f.=5, P = 0.3; and I²=21% for the DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines; and $\chi 2$ =16.4, d.f.=10, P = 0.09; and I²= 39% for all studies). Using a fixed-effect model, the difference is significant even with the exclusion of Pichichero 1997, RR 1.83, 95% CI

1.17 to 2.86 for the DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines; and RR 1.34, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.73 for all studies.

Anti-FHA (filamentous hemagglutinin) - no seroprotective titers

No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.11 to 3.75). Three studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with total of four events. Four studies had no events (Avdicova 2002; Gabutti 2005; Gabutti 2004; Omenaca 2001).

Anti-PRN - no seroprotective titers

No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.15 to 3.23). Three studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with total of seven events. Four studies had no events (Gabutti 2004; Gabutti 2005; Omenaca 2001; Pichichero 1997).

Anti-BPT (Pertussis) - no seroprotective titers

No significant difference (RR 1.12, 95% CI 0.42 to 3.00) between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.47, 95% CI 0.04 to 5.12) and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.34, 95% CI 0.45 to 3.95). One study of DTPa-HBV-HIB was included with a total of three events. Five studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with a total of three events in the separate vaccines and eleven events in the combined vaccine. Three studies had no events (Win 1997; Ramkissoon 2001; Santos 2002).

Anti-D (Diphtheria): titers below the assay cutoff

No significant difference (RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.42 to 2.20) between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 3.05, 95% CI 0.13 to 74.15) and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 0.89, 95% CI 0.37 to 2.16). Seven studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with a total of 61 events (Ortega-Barria 2007, Avdicova 2002; Aristegui 2003; Gabutti 2004; Ramkissoon 2001; Omenaca 2001; Pichichero 1997; Schmitt 2000). No events in eight studies (Aristegui 2003; Avdicova 2002; Gabutti 2004; Gabutti 2005; Omenaca 2001; Pichichero 1997; Ramkissoon 2001; Schmitt 2000).

Anti-T (Tetanus) titers below the assay cutoff

No events below the assay cutoff 0.1 IU/ml were found except in one study with five events in the separate vaccines (Ortega-Barria 2007).

Anti-HBV (Hepatitis B) titers concentrations below the assay cutoff

No significant difference was found (RR 1.27, 95% CI 0.72 to 2.25) between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.83, 95% CI 0.75 to 4.46) and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 0.92, 95% CI 0.36 to 2.38). Seven studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with a total of 31 events. Seven studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with a total of 163 events. Three studies had no events (Omenaca 2001, Ramkissoon 2001, Faingezicht 2002).

Results excluding one study (Nolan 2001) with a total of 26 events show no significant difference was found (RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.52 to 1.88) between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.83, 95% CI 0.75 to 4.46) and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.24 to 1.22).

Anti-polio type 1, 2 and 3 titers below the assay cutoff

No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines of anti polio type 1 (RR 1.22, 95% CI 0.20 to 7.56), of anti-polio type 2 (RR 1.84, 95% CI 0.66 to 5.12) and of anti-polio type 3 (RR 1.87, 95% CI 0.59 to 5.94). Four studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated. Three studies (Avdicova 2002; Gabutti 2004; Schmitt 2000) combined IPV vaccine with DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine and one study (Pichichero 1997) combined OPV vaccine with DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine.

Reactogenicity (adverse events - number of reported events by number of vaccines given)

Serious adverse events - number of reported events by number of participants

Nine studies with a total of 4932 participants were estimated. No significant difference between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 0.91, 95% CI 0.56 to 1.48). Three studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 18 events in the combined group and 24 events in the separate group. No significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.41 to 1.37). Five studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 22 events in the combined group and eight events in the separate group. No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.32, 95% CI 0.58 to 3.00).

See Table 1 and Table 2 for details.

Table 1. Serious Adverse Events (DTPw): details

Combined group	Separate group	Not given
A few hours after the first vaccine dose, one child experienced seizures, which resolved spontaneously. Two weeks after the first vaccine dose, an- other child was diagnosed with acute bron- chiolitis and subsequently died due to res- piratory distress (Faingezicht 2002).	One acute bronchiolitis case, due to respi- ratory syncytials virus infection, occurred three days after the first vaccination. The child recovered after treatment and hospi- talization (Faingezicht 2002).	Five subjects were hospitalized or experi- enced a serious adverse event, including one subject who died as a secondary result of sudden infant death syndrome 52 days af- ter the first dose vaccine (Greenberg 2000).
Three events: one hypotonic-hyporespon- siveness, two seizures (Nolan 2001).	Three events of seizures (Nolan 2001).	
In one case four booster doses were fol- lowed by unsolicited grade '3' symptoms (pharyngitis and severe asthma) (Santos 2002).		
Twelve serious adverse events were reported by 10 subjects (Tregnaghi 2006).	Two serious adverse events after the pri- mary vaccination course were reported by two subjects (Tregnaghi 2006).	
Four serious adverse events occurred in subjects receiving DTPw-HBV/Hib 2.5 vaccine. Two hypotonic-hyporesponsive episodes (HHE) in Hib-078 and two cases of convulsions in Hib-079. All four sub- jects recovered (Ortega-Barria 2007).	Two events occurred following the admin- istration of Tritanrix [™] -Hep B and Hi- berix [™] vaccines in Hib-078. One case of HHE and one case of viral meningoen- cephalitis (Ortega-Barria 2007).	

Table 2.	Serious	Adverse	Events	(DTPa):	details
Indic 2.	ourous	1 Iu leibe	Litentes	(2114)	actuito

Combined group	Separate group	Not given
Seven SAEs were hospitalizations due to vaccination-related common childhood in- fections (Avdicova 2002). Ten SAEs including one drop-out follow- ing a serious adverse event and another following a non-serious adverse event (Gabutti 2004). One case of large, local re- actions after the second and third injections (Mallet 2000).	Eleven SAEs were hospitalizations due to vaccination-related common childhood in- fections (one erythematous rash) (Avdicova 2002) Ten SAEs including one drop-out follow- ing a serious adverse event (Gabutti 2004). Three infants presented symptoms that were considered as a contradiction for fur- ther vaccination:inconsolable crying i.e. more than three hours after first dose (n =	Two episodes of "inconsolable crying" were reported within the context of multiple se- vere local reactions without further seque- lae (Mallet 2000). Four serious adverse events were reported (Aristegui 2003). Eight serious adverse events occurred (Schmitt 2000).

Pain

A total of 17,841 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vac-

cines were estimated. A significant difference was found between

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review)

Copyright $\textcircled{\sc 0}$ 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.09, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.17). Seven studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 788 events in the combined group and 449 events in the separate group. A significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines was found (RR 1.20, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.37). Nine studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 2691 events in the combined group and 1597 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.12).

Redness

A total of 17,841 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. A significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.09, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.19). Seven studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1289 events in the combined group and 824 events in the separate group. A significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines was shown (RR 1.12, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.30). Nine studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1646 events in the combined group and 975 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.06, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.18).

Swelling

No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.13). Seven studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1015 events in the combined group and 711 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.06, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.21). Nine studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1587 events in the combined group and 962 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.14).

Fever

A total of 15,901 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.08). Six studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 814 events in the combined group and 561 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.09, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.22). Six studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1528 events in the combined group and 1009 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.98, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.05).

Fussiness or restlessness

A total of 11,112 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.12). Six studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1255 events in the combined group and 844 events in the separate group. No significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines was found (RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.15). Two studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB was estimated with 498 events in the combined group and 477 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.23).

Drowsiness

A total of 11,178 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.09). Six studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 756 events in the combined group and 717 events in the separate group. No significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines was shown (RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.88 to 1.19). Four studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 880 events in the combined group and 354 events in the separate group. No significant difference was found between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.91, 95% CI 0.82 to 1.00).

Poor appetite

A total of 12,851 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.01, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.09). Five studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 681 events in the combined group and 450 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.07, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.22). Five studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1118 events in the combined group and 425 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.06).

Vomiting

A total of 7210 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between

DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.26). Three studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 267 events in the combined group and 148 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.85 to 1.29). Three studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 28 events in the combined group and 26 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.08, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.81).

Irritability or tenderness

A total of 7440 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.04). Two studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 255 events in the combined group and 242 events in the separate group. No significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines was shown (RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.44). Six studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 1874 events in the combined group and 925 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.01).

Diarrhea

A total of 4690 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 1.16, 95% CI 0.93 to 1.44). Two studies of DTPa-HBV-HIB were estimated with 217 events in the combined group and 79 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.17, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.51). Three studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 34 events in the combined group and 30 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 1.11, 95% CI 0.69 to 1.77).

Unusual crying

A total of 3986 DTPa-HBV-HIB and DTPw-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. No significant difference was found between DTPa-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines and DTPw-HBV-HIB combined and separate vaccines (RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.71 to 1.09). One study of DTPa-HBV-HIB was estimated with three events in the combined group and no events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 6.99, 95% CI 0.36 to 135.16). Three studies of DTPw-HBV-HIB were estimated with 174 events in the combined group and 200 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.87, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.04).

Sleeping more than usual

A total of 5492 DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines were estimated. Three studies were estimated with 530 events in the combined group and 243 events in the separate group. There was no significant difference between combined and separate DTPa-HBV-HIB vaccines (RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.14).

Sensitivity analysis

We could not perform sensitivity analysis to assess the impact of methods on the main results because only three studies had adequate allocation generation. We looked at the sub-groups according to the antibody concentrations above the assay cut-offs and found no difference between the sub-groups.

Selection bias

Two funnel plot graphs of studies for anti-PRP were examined and showed no significant selection bias (Figure 1; Figure 2).









DISCUSSION

We found no studies that addressed clinical outcomes, i.e. incidence of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and *H. influenzae* type B. For some of these diseases, past eradication programs were effective in almost-total eradication of the disease, and thus trials addressing clinical outcomes demand an unrealistic sample size and follow up. However, for some of them, for example, hepatitis B and *H. influenzae* type B clinical outcomes could be expected. The lack of such outcomes weakens conclusions that can be drawn from published studies.

Immunogenicity

The number of vaccines doses differed significantly between the studies. We decided to extract data following the last dose of the vaccines, excluding a booster dose, because the sample size of booster groups differed significantly from the original groups.

In two immunological responses the combined vaccine achieved lower responses than the separate vaccines: anti-PRP (*H. influenzae* type B), both for the threshold of 0.15 mg/ml, and for the threshold of 1.0 μ g/ml; and anti-hepatitis B. For the other responses no significant differences could be shown, but the number of events (response below the threshold) was so low that the confidence intervals are very large.

We should take note that the anti-PRP comparison was influenced by one study with a large number of events (Pichichero 1997), which used pure (and not conjugated) PRP vaccines (polyribosylribitolphoshate). The anti-hepatitis B comparison is influenced by one large study, with a high number of serological failures (Nolan 2001).

Reactogenicity (adverse events)

We were unable to find data of serious adverse events for some of the included trials, (although trial authors were contacted for additional information). No difference was found between combined and separate vaccines. However, eight studies of a total of 4932 participants is a relatively small number upon which to base conclusions. A significant increase in pain and redness was observed in the patients given the combination vaccine.

Limitations of the review

The quality of many of the studies included in the analysis is uncertain. The interventions are heterogeneous. While most of the studies were supported by the manufacturers Hiberix, Glaxo-Smith\Kline Biologicals, Rixensart, Belgium and by Aventis Pasteur, Lyon, France, combined vaccines were prepared as investigational formulations and reconstituted with different diluents. Therefore, the findings may not generalize to all DTP-HBV-HIB vaccines. Though studies included in the meta-analysis had similar vaccination schedules, immunogenicity was measured at different points of vaccination: after the first, second or third vaccination, and in some studies, after the booster vaccination. The meta-analysis included immunogenicity data after the third vaccinations, while the immunogenicity profile might differ after the booster vaccination. The study location, the healthcare environment, and combining research across disparate geographical locations, may lead to bias. The studies did not use an intention-to-treat analysis. However, the differences rely mostly on one study each. It is not clear whether the results can be generalized to all vaccines. The results of this review should be viewed with caution, mostly as an indication that high quality data are lacking.

Implications for research

Studies addressing clinical end-points whenever possible, using correct methodology and a large enough sample size (and probably including DTPa components) should be conducted.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Overall the level of evidence provided by the studies was low, and we could not conclude that the immune responses elicited by the combined vaccine are equivalent to the separate injections. The data showed significantly less immunological response for *H. influenza* and hepatitis B, and more local reactions to the injections.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the support provided by the Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections Group editorial team. The review authors wish to acknowledge the following peer referees in developing the protocol: Cheryl Flynn, Lize van der Merwe, Yuri Baidal, José Luis Ferrero Albert, and Giovanni Gabutti. Finally, we would like to thank the following people for commenting on the draft review: Barbara Loe Fisher, Durhane Wong-Rieger, Giovanni Gabutti, Margie Andreae, Mark Jones and Chris Del Mar.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Aristegui 2003 {published data only}

Aristegui J, Dal-Re R, Diez-Delgado J, Mares J, Casanovas JM, Garcia-Corbeira P, et al.Comparison of the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a combined diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis, hepatitis B, inactivated polio (DTPa-HBV-IPV) vaccine, mixed with the Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) conjugate vaccine and administered as a single injection, with the DTPa-IPV/Hib and hepatitis B vaccines administered in two simultaneous injections to infants at 2, 4 and 6 months of age. *Vaccine* 2003;**21**(25-6):3593–600.

Avdicova 2002 {published data only}

Avdicova M, Prikazsky V, Hudeckova H, Schuerman L, Willems P. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a novel hexavalent DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib vaccine compared to separate concomitant injections of DTPa-IPV/Hib and HBV vaccines, when administered according to a 3, 5 and 11 month vaccination schedule. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 2002;**161**(11):581–7.

Bravo 1998 {published data only}

Bravo L, Carlos J, Gatchalian S, Borja-Tabora C, Bibera G, Willems P, et al. The new DTPw-HBV-Hib combination vaccine can be used at the who schedule with a monovalent dose of hepatitis B vaccine at birth. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 1998;**29**(4):772–8.

Faingezicht 2002 {published data only}

Faingezicht I, Avila-Aguerro ML, Cervantes Y, Fourneau M, Clemens SA. Primary and booster vaccination with DTPw-HB/Hib pentavalent vaccine in Costa Rican children who had received a birth dose of hepatitis b vaccine. *Pan American Journal of Public Health* 2002; **12**(4):247–57.

Gabutti 2004 {published data only}

Gabutti G, Zepp F, Schuerman L, Dentico P, Bamfi F, Soncini R, et al. Evaluation of the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a DTPa-HBV-IPV. Combination vaccine co-administered with a Hib conjugate vaccine either as a single injection of a hexavalent combination or as two separate injections at 3, 5 and 11 months of age. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2004;**36**(8):585–92.

Gabutti 2005 {published data only}

Gabutti G, Bona G, Dentico P, Bamfi F, Hardt K, Majori S, et al.Immunogenicity and reactogenicity following primary immunisation with a combined DTaP-HBV vaccine and a Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccine administered by separate or mixed injection. *Clinical Drug Investigation* 2005;**25**(5):315–23.

Greenberg 2000 {published data only}

Greenberg DP, Wong VK, Partridge S, Chang SJ, Jing J, Howe BJ, et al.Immunogenicity of a Haemophilus influenzae type b-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine when mixed with a diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis-hepatitis B combination vaccine. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2000;**19**(12):1135–40.

Mallet 2000 {published data only}

Mallet E, Fabre P, Pines E, Salomon H, Staub T, Schodel F, et al.Immunogenicity and safety of a new liquid hexavalent combined vaccine compared with separate administration of reference licensed vaccines in infants. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2000;**19**(12): 1119–27.

Nolan 2001 {published data only}

Nolan T, Hogg G, Darcy MA, Skeljo M, Carlin J, Boslego J. A combined liquid Hib (PRP-OMPC), hepatitis B, diphtheria, tetanus and whole-cell pertussis vaccine: controlled studies of immunogenicity and reactogenicity. *Vaccine* 2001;**19**(15-16):2127–37.

Omenaca 2001 {published data only}

Omenaca F, Dal-Re R, D'Apuzzo V, Kattamis C, Gnehm HP, Garcia-Sicilia J, et al.Reactogenicity of DTPa-HBV/Hib vaccine administered as a single injection vs DTPa-HBV and Hib vaccines administered simultaneously at separate sites, to infants at 2, 4 and 6 months of age. *Vaccine* 2001;**19**(30):4260–6.

Ortega-Barria 2007 {published data only}

Ortega-Barria E, Kanra G, Leroux G, Bravo L, Safary A, Levlevre I. The immunogenicity and reactogenicity of DTPw-HBV/Hib 2.5 combination vaccine: Results from four phase III multicenter trials across three continents. *Vaccine* 2007;**25**(50):8432–40.

Pichichero 1997 {published data only}

Pichichero ME, Passador S. Administration of combined diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, hepatitis B vaccine, and Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccine to infants and response to a booster dose of Hib conjugate vaccine. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1997;**25**(6):1378–84.

Ramkissoon 2001 {published data only}

Ramkissoon A, Coovadia HM, Jugnundan P, Willems P, Clemens BR. A new combined DTP-HBV-Hib vaccine--strategy for incorporation of Hib vaccination into childhood immunisation programmes. *South African Medical Journal* 2001;**91**(10):864–9.

Riedemann 2002 {published data only}

Riedemann S, Reinhardt G, Jara J, Rios R, Wenzel MS, Willems P, et al.Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of combined versus separately administered DTPw-HBV and Hib vaccines given to healthy infants at 2, 4, and 6 months of age, with a booster at 18 months. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2002;**6**(3):215–22.

Santos 2002 {published data only}

Santos JI, Martin A, De Leon T, Rivera L, Gaitan ME, Del Rio C, et al.DTPw-HB and Hib primary and booster vaccination: combined versus separate administration to Latin American children. *Vaccine* 2002;**20**(13-4):1887–93.

Schmitt 2000 {published data only}

Schmitt HJ, Knuf M, Ortiz E, Sanger R, Uwamwezi MC, Kaufhold A. Primary vaccination of infants with diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis-hepatitis B virus- inactivated polio virus and Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccines given as either separate or mixed injections. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2000;**137**(3):304–12.

Tregnaghi 2006 {published data only}

Tregnaghi M, Lopez P, Rocha C, Rivera L, David M, Ruttimann R. A new DTPw-HB/Hib combination vaccine for primary and booster vaccination of infants in Latin America. *Pan American Journal of Public Health* 2006;**19**(3):179–88.

Win 1997 {published data only}

Win KM, Aye M, Htay-Htay H, Safary A, et al. Comparison of separate and mixed administration of DTPw-HBV and Hib vaccines: Immunogenicity and reactogenicity profiles. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1997;**2**(2):79–84.

References to studies excluded from this review

Aristegui 1998 {published data only}

* Aristegui J, Dal-Re R, Garrote E, Gonzalez A, Arrate JP, Perez A. Assessment of the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a quadrivalent diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis and hepatitis B (DTPa-HBV) vaccine administered in a single injection with Haemophilus influenzae type b conjugate vaccine, to infants at 2, 4 and 6 months of age. *Vaccine* 1998;**16**(20):1976–81.

Aristegui 2001 {published data only}

* Aristegui J, Garcia-Corbeira P, De-la-Flor J, Dal-Re R, Mares J, Moraga F, et al.Reactogenicity and safety of DTPa vaccine and Haemophilus influenzae type b conjugate vaccine (Hib) in a single injection vs DTPw and Hib as separate injections as a booster vaccination in 18-month-old children. *Clinical Drug Investigation* 2001; **21**(1):9–16.

Bavdekar 2007 {published data only}

Bavdekar SB, Maiya PP, Subba Rao SD, Bock HL. Immunogenicity and safety of combined diphtheria tetanus whole cell pertussis hepatitis B/ Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine in Indian infants. *Indian Pediatr.* 2007;**Jul;44**(7):505–10.

Botet-Asensi 2003 {published data only}

* Botet-Asensi FI, Veronese A, Del Carmen Otero M, Desamparados Tamarit Perez M, Hontangas Lopez JL, Viviani S. Immunogenicity and safety in infants of a DTwPHib full liquid vaccine. *Acta Pediatrica* 2003;**92**(5):541–5.

Calbo 2002 {published data only}

* Calbo F, Dal Re R, Diez Delgado J, Ona S, Sanchez Prados F, Garcia Corbeira P, et al.Comparative trial to assess the reactogenicity of the diphtheria-tetanusacellular pertussis (DTPa) vaccine plus Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) conjugate vaccine and that of the diphtheria-tetanus-whole cell pertussis (DTPw) vaccine plus Hib conjugate vaccine, administered in single injection as a booster dose to 14-20 months-old children. *Medicina Clinica* 2002;**118**(1):1–4.

Clemens 2003 {published data only}

* Clemens SC, Azevedo T, Homma A. Feasibility study of the immunogenicity and safety of a novel DTPw/Hib (PRP-T) Brazilian combination compared to a licensed vaccine in healthy children at 2, 4, and 6 months of age. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* 2003;**36**(3):321–30.

Denoel 2007 {published data only}

Denoel PA, Goldblatt D, de Vleeschauwer I, Jacquet JM, Pichichero ME, Poolman JT. Quality of the Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) antibody response induced by diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis/Hib combination vaccines. *Clinical and Vaccine Immunology* 2007;**October 14**(10):1362–9.

Gatchalian 2005 {published data only}

Gatchalian S, Reyes M, Bernal N, Lefevre I, David MP, Han HH, et al.A new DTPw-HBV/Hib vaccine is immunogenic and safe when administered according to the EPI (Expabded Programme for Immunization) schedule and following hepatitis B vaccination at birth. *Human Vaccines* 2005;1(5):198–203.

Gylca 2001 {published data only}

* Gylca R, Gylca V, Benes O, Melnic A, Chicu V, Weisbecker C, et al.A new DTPa-HBV-IPV vaccine co-administered with Hib, compared to a commercially available DTPw-IPV/Hib vaccine co-ad-

ministered with HBV, given at 6, 10 and 14 weeks following HBV at birth. *Vaccine* 2001;**19**(7-8):825–33.

Hla 2006 {published data only}

Hla KH, Thein SA, Aye A, Han HH, Bock HL, David MP, et al.Reactogenicity and immunogenicity profiles of a novel pentavalent diphtheria-tetanus-whole cell pertussis-hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccine: a randomized dose-ranging trial of the Hib tetanus-conjugate content. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2006;**25**(8):706–12.

Hogg 2003 {published data only}

* Hogg K, Hogg G. The immunogenicity of oral poliomyelitis vaccine in a primary vaccination series at 2, 4 and 6 months given concurrently with Hib, hepatitis B and diphtheria, tetanus and wholecell pertussis vaccines administered as three separate injections or as a combination pentavalent vaccine. *Vaccine* 2003;**21**(21-2):2906–10.

Huang 1998 {published data only}

* Huang LM, Chang PF, Lee PI, Chiu HH, Tsai SY, Lee CY. Immunogenicity and safety of Haemophilus influenzae type b conjugate vaccine (HibTITER) and a combination vaccine of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis and HibTITER (TETRAMUNE) in two-monthold infants. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infection* 1998; **31**(3):180–6.

Kalies 2004 {published data only}

* Kalies H, Verstraeten T, Grote V, Meyer N, Siedler A, Schmitt HJ, et al.Four and one-half-year follow-up of the effectiveness of diphtheria-tetanus toxoids-acellular pertussis/Haemophilus influenzae type b and diphtheria-tetanus toxoids-acellular pertussis-inactivated poliovirus/H. influenzae type b combination vaccines in Germany. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2004;**23**(10):944–50.

Kanra 2006 {published data only}

Kanra G, Kara A, Demiralp O, Contorni M, Hilbert AK, Spyr C, et al.Safety and immunogenicity of a new fully liquid DTPw-HepB-Hib combination vaccine in infants. *Human Vaccines* 2006;**2**(4): 155–60.

Knuf 2006 {published data only}

Knuf M, Habermehl P, Cimino C, Petersen G, Schmitt HJ, Habermehl P. Immunogenicity, reactogenicity and safety of a 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7) concurrently administered with a DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib combination vaccine in healthy infants. *Vaccine* 2006;**24**(22):4727–36.

Lagos 2005 {published data only}

Lagos R, Hoffenbach A, Scemama M, Dupuy M, Schodel F, Hessel L, et al.Lot-to-lot consistency of a combined hexavalent diptheria-tetanus-acellular-pertussis, hepatitis B, Inactivated polio and haemophilus B conjugate vaccine, administered to healthy Chilean infants at two, four and six months of age. *Human Vaccines* 2005;**1** (3):112–7.

Lim 2007 {published data only}

Lim FS, Han HH, Jacquet JM, Bock HL. Primary vaccination of infants against hepatitis B can be completed using a combined hexavalent diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis-hepatitis B-inactivated poliomyelitis-Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccine. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore* 2007;**Oct 36**(10):801–6.

Lopez 2002 {published data only}

Lopez P, Rubiano L, del Pilar Rubio M, David MP, Safary A. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of DTPw-HB/Hib vaccine administered to Colombian infants after a birth dose of hepatitis B vaccine. *Expert Review of Vaccines* 2002;1(3):277–83.

Meriste 2006 {published data only}

Meriste S, Lutsar I, Tamm E, Willems P. Safety and immunogenicity of a primary course and booster dose of a combined diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis, hepatitis B and inactivated poliovirus vaccine. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* May;**38**(5):350–6.

Mills 1998 {published data only}

* Mills E, Gold R, Thipphawong J, Barreto L, Guasparini R, Meekison W, et al.Safety and immunogenicity of a combined five-component pertussis-diphtheria-tetanus-inactivated poliomyelitis-Haemophilus B conjugate vaccine administered to infants at two, four and six months of age. *Vaccine* 1998;**16**(6):576–85.

Nolan 2004 {published data only}

Nolan T, Altmann A, Skeljo M, Streeton C, Schuerman L. Antibody persistence, PRP-specific immune memory, and booster responses in infants immunised with a combination DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib vaccine. *Vaccine* 2004;**23**(1):14–20.

Pichichero 1999 {published data only}

* Pichichero ME, Voloshen T, Zajac D, Passador S. Avidity maturation of antibody to Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) after immunization with diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis-hib-hepatitis B combined vaccine in infants. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1999; 180(4):1390–3.

Pichichero 2007 {published data only}

Pichichero ME, Bernstein H, Blatter MM, Schuerman L, Cheuvart B, Holmes SJ. Immunogenicity and safety of a combination diphtheria, tetanus toxoid, acellular pertussis, hepatitis B, and inactivated poliovirus vaccine co administered with a 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and a *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2007;**151**(1):43–9;e1-2.

Poolman 2001 {published data only}

* Poolman J, Kaufhold A, De Grave D, Goldblatt D. Clinical Relevance of Lower Hib Response in DTPa-based Combination Vaccines. *Vaccine* 2001;**19**(17-9):2280–5.

Saenger 2005 {published data only}

Saenger R, Maechler G, Potreck M, Zepp F, Knuf M, Habermehl P, et al.Booster vaccination with hexavalent DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib vaccine in the second year of life is as safe as concomitant DTPa-IPV/Hib + HBV administered separately. *Vaccine* 2005;**23**(9):1135–43.

Scheifele 2005 {published data only}

Scheifele DW, Halperin SA, Rubin E, Tapiero B, Guasparini R, Meekison W, et al.Safety and immunogenicity of a pentavalent combination vaccine (diphtheria, tetanus, accellular pertussis, polio and haemophilus influenzae type B conjugate) when administered as a fourth dose at 15 to 18 months of age. *Human Vaccines* 2005;1(5): 180–6.

Scheifele 2006 {published data only}

Scheifele DW, Halperin SA, Smith B, Ochnio J, Meloff K, Duarte-Monteiro D. Assessment of the compatibility of co-administered 7valent pneumococcal conjugate, DTaP.IPV/PRP-T Hib and hepatitis

B vaccines in infants 2-7 months of age. *Vaccine* 2006;**24**(12):2057–64.

Tichmann 2005 {published data only}

Tichmann I, Preidel H, Grunert D, Habash S, Schult R, Maier R, et al.Comparison of the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of two commercially available hexavalent vaccines administered as a primary vaccination course at 2, 4 and 6 months of age. *Vaccine* 2005;23 (25):3272–9.

Tichmann-Schumann 2005 {published data only}

Tichmann-Schumann I, Soemantri P, Behre U, Disselhoff J, Mahler H, Maechler G, et al.Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of four doses of diphtheria-tetanus-three-component acellular pertussis-hepatitis B-inactivated polio virus-Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine coadministered with 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2005;**24**(1):70–7.

Trollfors 2005 {published data only}

* Trollfors B, Taranger J, Lagergard T, Sundh V. Reduced immunogenicity of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids when combined with pertussis toxoid. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2005;**24**(1):85–6.

Usonis 1999a {published data only}

Usonis V, Bakasenas V. Does concomitant injection of a combined diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis - Hepatitis B virus - Inactivated polio virus vaccine influence the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of commercial Haemophilus influenzae type b conjugate vaccines?. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1999;**158**(5):398–402.

Usonis 1999b {published data only}

* Usonis V, Bakasenas V. Evaluation of the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a new combined diphtheria, tetanus, whole-cell Bordetella pertussis and Hepatitis B vaccine and Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine in children at 3, 4.5 and 6 months of age. *Acta Medica Lituanica* 1999;**T6**:Nr 3.

Zepp 1997 {published data only}

* Zepp F, Schmitt HJ, Kaufhold A, Schuind A, Knuf M, Habermehl P, et al.Evidence for induction of polysaccharide specific B-cell-memory in the 1st year of life: plain Haemophilus influenzae type b-PRP (Hib) boosters children primed with a tetanus-conjugate Hib-DTPa-HBV combined vaccine. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1997;**156** (1):18–24.

Zepp 2004 {published data only}

Zepp F, Knuf M, Heininger U, Jahn K, Collard A, Habermehl P, et al.Safety, reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a combined hexavalent tetanus, diphtheria, acellular pertussis, hepatitis B, inactivated poliovirus vaccine and Haemophilus influenzae type b conjugate vaccine, for primary immunization of infants. *Vaccine* 2004;**22**(17-8): 2226–33.

Additional references

Ball 2001

Ball LK, Falk LA, Horne D, Finn TM. Evaluating the immune response to combination vaccines. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2001;**33** (Suppl 4):299–305.

Chalmers 1990

Chalmers I, Adams M, Dickersin K, Hetherington J, Tarnow-Mordi W, Meinert C, et al. A cohort study of summary reports of controlled trials. *JAMA* 1990;**263**(10):1401–5.

Egger 1997

Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;**315**(7109): 629–34.

Lefebvre 2008

Lefebvre C, Manheimer E, Glanville J. Chapter 6: Searching for studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S editor(s). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Wiley, 2008.

Schulz 1955

Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, Altman DG. Empirical evidence of bias: dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. *The Journal of the American Medical Association* 1955;**273**(5):408–12.

WHO 1998

World Health Organization. Global Programme for Vaccines and Immunization: The WHO position paper on Haemophilus influenza type b conjugate vaccines. *Weekly Epidemiological Record* 1998;**73**(10):64–71.

Wong 2006

Wong SS, Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB. Developing optimal search strategies for detecting clinically sound treatment studies in EM-BASE. *Journal of the Medical Library Association* 2006;**94**:41–47.

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Aristegui 2003

Methods	Open randomized, comparative phase IIIb multicenter trial			
Participants	Healthy male and fema	Healthy male and female infants age 8.7 (± 0.8) weeks		
Interventions	Combined DTPa-HBV months of age	Combined DTPa-HBV-IPV-Hib compared to separate DTPa-IPV/Hib + HBV in 3 doses at 2, 4 and 6 months of age		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antil	body concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		
Notes				
Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement	Description		
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear		
Avdicova 2002				
Methods	Open randomized trial	l		
Participants	Healthy male and fema	ale infants age 13.2 weeks, range 8 to 12 weeks		
Interventions	DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib compared to DTPa-IPV/Hib and HBV in separate injections 3 doses between 11 and 17 weeks of age			
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity			
Notes				
Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement	Description		
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear		

Bravo 1998

Methods	Open randomized clinical trial			
Participants	Healthy male and fema	Healthy male and female infants. No age reported		
Interventions	DTPw-HBV-Hib and 6, 10 and 14 weeks of	separate DTP-HBV and Hib when received hepatitis B at birth. 3 doses given at age		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antil	oody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		
Notes				
Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement	Description		
Allocation concealment?	No	C - Inadequate		
Faingezicht 2002				
Methods	Phase III observed-blind prospective randomized controlled trial			
Participants	Healthy male and female infants age 8.8 (SD = 0.9) weeks			
Interventions	DTPw-HB/Hib pentavalent combination after extemporaneous mixing of the liquid DTPw-HB with the lyophilised Hib compared to DTPw-HB vaccine and Hib vaccine reconstituted with its own diluent. 3 doses given to 2, 4, and 6 months old and booster at 15 to 18 months old			
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity			
Notes				
Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement	Description		
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear		
Gabutti 2004				
Methods	Open, phase III, rando	omized, multicenter study		
Participants	Healthy male and female infants age 13.3 weeks, range 9 to 17 weeks			

Gabutti 2004 (Continued)

Interventions	DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib compared to separate DTPa - HBV - IPV + Hib. 3 doses given to 3, 5 and 11 months old		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Item	Authors' judgement	Description	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear	
Gabutti 2005			
Methods	Open, randomized mu	lticenter trial	
Participants	Healthy male and female infants age 13 and 13.1 weeks		
Interventions	DTaP-HBV-Hib compared with two separate or mixed injection. 3 doses given to 3, 5 and 11 months old		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Item	Authors' judgement	Description	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear	
Greenberg 2000			
Methods	Randomization equally to three groups		
Participants	Healthy male and female infants age 6 to 12 weeks at the time of the first vaccination		
Interventions	DTaP, Hepatitis B (HepB) and PRP-T (Hib). Oral polio vaccine. was given concurrently. 3 doses given to 2, 4, 6 months old and booster combined vaccine to ages 11 to 15 months		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		

Greenberg 2000 (Continued)

Notes			
Risk of bias			
Item	Authors' judgement	Description	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear	
Mallet 2000			
Methods	Open label, multicent	er prospective, comparative trial	
Participants	Healthy male and fem	ale infants age 63 days ± 7 days	
Interventions	DTPa-IPV-HBV-Hib compared to separate DTPa-IPV-Hib and HB vaccine. 3 doses given to 2, 4 and 6 months of age		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Item	Authors' judgement	Description	
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate	
Nolan 2001			
Methods	Randomized double-b	lind series of three studies	
Participants	Good health male and female infants. No age reported		
Interventions	DTP-Hib (PRP-OMPC)-HB in three studies + OPV DTP-liqHib-HB + placebo (group A). DTP-HB + liqHib (group B). HB-liqHib + DTP (group C). DTP+lyoHib+hepB (group D). Monovalent HB at birth and DTP-liqHib-HB (group E). 3 and 4 dozes (including booster) given to 2, 4, 6 and 18 months of age		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (anti	body concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity	
Notes			
Risk of bias			

Nolan 2001 (Continued)

Item	Authors' judgement	Description	
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate	
Omenaca 2001			
Methods	Open, randomized, m	ulticenter, comparative phase III clinical trial	
Participants	Healthy male and fema	ale infants age 9.3 ± 1.4 weeks (range 5 to 16)	
Interventions	DTPa-HBV-Hib and separate DTPa-HBV and Hib with OPV vaccine simultaneously. 3 doses given to 2, 4, 6 months of age		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Item	Authors' judgement	Description	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear	
Ortega-Barria 2007			
Methods	Four separate phase III trials which assessed the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of DTPw-HBV/Hiv 2.5 in comparison with DTPw-HBV + Hiberix TM (10µg PRP) given as separate or mixed injections (3 trials) or with or without hepatitis B vaccine at birth (1 trial)		
Participants	Healthy male and female infants age 2 to 14 weeks		
Interventions	DTPw-HBV mixed wi given as separate inject 2, 4 and 6 months of a weeks of age (Hib-081	DTPw-HBV mixed with Hib 2.5 (lot A, lot B, lot C) compared with DTPw-HBV and Hiberix [™] either given as separate injections (Hib-078) or as mixed injections (Hib-079, Hib-080) administered at either 2, 4 and 6 months of age (Hib-078, Hib-079); at 3, 4 and 5 months of age (Hib-080); or at 6, 10 and 14 weeks of age (Hib-081).	

Notes

. . .

Outcomes

Risk of bias

Item

Authors' judgement Description

Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity

Ortega-Barria 2007 (Continued)

Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear		
Pichichero 1997				
Methods	Prospective randomized injections with three se	d multicenter trial. Three to one to group 1 and 2 respectively comparing combined sparate simultaneous injections		
Participants	Healthy male and fema	ale infants age 6 to 12 weeks		
Interventions	DTaP-HBV-PRP-T an groups concurrently at PRP conjugate vaccine	DTaP-HBV-PRP-T and booster of Hib. Oral poliovirus vaccine was administered to all vaccinees in both groups concurrently at 2, 4, and 6 months of age. 3 doses given to 2, 4, 6 months of age and booster of PRP conjugate vaccine to group 1 (combined) with low levels of antibody at 9 to 13 months of age		
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antil	oody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity		
Notes				
Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement	Description		
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear		
Ramkissoon 2001				
Methods	Open, randomized cor	nparative study		
Participants	Healthy male and female infants age of 6 weeks (not reported)			
Interventions	DTPw-HBV mixed with Hib compared with DTPw-HBV and Hib separate. 3 doses given to 6, 10, 14 weeks of age			
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity			
Notes				
Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement	Description		
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear		

Riedemann	2002
-----------	------

Methods	Open randomized parallel-group design randomized study				
Participants	Healthy male and fema	Healthy male and female infants age 9.9 weeks			
Interventions	DTPw-HB/Hib comp 6 months old and boos	ared with DTPw-HB and Hib separate in opposite deltoids. 3 doses given to 2, 4, ster at 18 months of age			
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antil	body concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity			
Notes					
Risk of bias					
Item	Authors' judgement	Description			
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear			
Santos 2002					
Methods	Open, multicenter ran	Open, multicenter randomized (1:1), parallel-group design			
Participants	Healthy male and female infants age 8 to 15 weeks				
Interventions	DTPw-HB mixed with Hib compared with DTPw-HB and Hib separate in opposite thighs. 3 doses given to 2, 4, 6 months and booster at 18 months of age				
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity				
Notes					
Risk of bias					
Item	Authors' judgement	Description			
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear			
Schmitt 2000					
Methods	Open, multicenter, rar	adomized trial			
Participants	Healthy male and fem	ale infants age 8 to 16 weeks			

Interventions DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib compared to separate DTPa - HBV - IPV + Hib. 3 doses given to 2, 4 and 6 months of age

Schmitt 2000 (Continued)

Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antil	body concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity				
Notes						
Risk of bias						
Item	Authors' judgement	Description				
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear				
Tregnaghi 2006						
Methods	Double blind design of	f three different production lots in the studies				
Participants	Healthy infants age 8 ±	± 1.8 weeks with a male:female ratio of 1:1				
Interventions	DTPw-HB/Hib comp	DTPw-HB/Hib compared with separate vaccines				
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antil	body concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity				
Notes						
Risk of bias						
Item	Authors' judgement	Description				
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear				
Win 1997						
Methods	Open, randomized and	d controlled with two groups of healthy neonates				
Participants	Healthy male and fema	Healthy male and female infants age 5 to 8 weeks				
Interventions	DTPw-HBV-Hib and separate DTPw-HBV and Hib. 3 doses given to 1.5, 3 and 5 months of age					
Outcomes	Immunogenicity (antil	Immunogenicity (antibody concentrations by serological analysis) and adverse events - reactogenicity				
Notes						
Risk of bias						
Item	Authors' judgement	Description				

Win 1997 (Continued)

Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

SD: standard deviation

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Aristegui 1998	Comparison of three lots of Haemophilus influenzae type B conjugate (Hib): DTPa-HBV (lot no. 16707B2) + Hib (002A44), DTPa-HBV (16708B2) + Hib (001A41) and DTPa-HBV (16710A2) + Hib (003A41)
Aristegui 2001	Compares DTPa/Hib with DTPw + Hib as booster. No HBV. Reactogenicity and safety only
Bavdekar 2007	Evaluates the immunogenicity of the Hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae type b components and the overall safety and reactogenicity of the DTPw-HBV/Hib vaccine. No comparison of combined and separate vaccines
Botet-Asensi 2003	DTwP/Hib vaccine compared to separate injections of DTwP+ Hib. No HBV
Calbo 2002	Comparative trial to assess the reactogenicity of the DTPa vaccine + Hib and DTPw + Hib administered in single injection as a booster dose. No HBV
Clemens 2003	Immunogenicity and safety of a novel DTPw/Hib Brazilian combination compared to a licensed DTPw/Hib European combination
Denoel 2007	Not an RCT. Report of primary and booster based pediatric clinical trials
2000	Not all RC1. Report of primary and booster-based pediatic clinical triais
Gatchalian 2005	Compares two combined vaccines: DTPw-HBV/Hib containing 2.5 micro PRP compared to GSK Biologicals' licensed Tritanrix HepB/Hiberix containing 10 micro PRP
Gatchalian 2005 Gylca 2001	Compares two combined vaccines: DTPw-HBV/Hib containing 2.5 micro PRP compared to GSK Biologicals' licensed Tritanrix HepB/Hiberix containing 10 micro PRP DTPa-HBV-IPV + Hib vaccine compared to DTPw-IPV/Hib + HBV vaccine (diphtheria, tetanus, polioviruses, HBsAg, PRP antigens)
Gatchalian 2005 Gylca 2001 Hla 2006	Compares two combined vaccines: DTPw-HBV/Hib containing 2.5 micro PRP compared to GSK Biologicals' licensed Tritanrix HepB/Hiberix containing 10 micro PRP DTPa-HBV-IPV + Hib vaccine compared to DTPw-IPV/Hib + HBV vaccine (diphtheria, tetanus, polioviruses, HBsAg, PRP antigens) A randomized, dose-ranging trial to asses the combined vaccine content (no comparison to separate vaccines)
Gatchalian 2005 Gylca 2001 Hla 2006 Hogg 2003	Not an RC1. Report of printing and booster-based pediatric clinical trias Compares two combined vaccines: DTPw-HBV/Hib containing 2.5 micro PRP compared to GSK Biologicals' licensed Tritanrix HepB/Hiberix containing 10 micro PRP DTPa-HBV-IPV + Hib vaccine compared to DTPw-IPV/Hib + HBV vaccine (diphtheria, tetanus, polioviruses, HBsAg, PRP antigens) A randomized, dose-ranging trial to asses the combined vaccine content (no comparison to separate vaccines) Assesses the immunogenicity of oral poliomyelitis vaccine under current and possible new conditions (different objective)
Gatchalian 2005 Gylca 2001 Hla 2006 Hogg 2003 Huang 1998	Not an RC1. Report of primary and booster-based pediatric clinical thats Compares two combined vaccines: DTPw-HBV/Hib containing 2.5 micro PRP compared to GSK Biologicals' licensed Tritanrix HepB/Hiberix containing 10 micro PRP DTPa-HBV-IPV + Hib vaccine compared to DTPw-IPV/Hib + HBV vaccine (diphtheria, tetanus, polioviruses, HBsAg, PRP antigens) A randomized, dose-ranging trial to asses the combined vaccine content (no comparison to separate vaccines) Assesses the immunogenicity of oral poliomyelitis vaccine under current and possible new conditions (different objective) Combined DTP/Hib and separate DTP + Hib vaccination without HBV

(Continued)

Kanra 2006	Combined DTPw-Hepb-Hib compared with separately administered DTPw-Hib and hepatitis B vaccines
Knuf 2006	Hexavalent diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis-hepatitis B-inactivated polio virus-H. influenzae type b vaccine concomitantly with PCV7 (DTPa-HBV-IPV-Hib and PCV7) compared with DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib
Lagos 2005	Comparison of Lot-to-Lot consistency of combined vaccine and not comparison of combined and separate vaccines
Lim 2007	Comparison of combined DTPa-IPV/Hib + HBV vaccines with DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib vaccine
Lopez 2002	Not an RCT: no control group
Meriste 2006	Comparison of combined DTPa-HBV-IPV with DTPa-HBV and IPV separate vaccines
Mills 1998	Comparison between a five-component pertussis combination vaccine (CPDT-IPV/PRP-T) to that of whole cell pertussis combination vaccine (DPT-IPV/PRP-T)
Nolan 2004	Only data on antibody persistence (immunogenicity) of plain PRP and conjugate PRP-T was provided
Pichichero 1999	Avidity maturation of antibody to Hib after immunization with DTPa/Hib/HBV
Pichichero 2007	Compares the DTaP-Hepb-IPV vaccine co-administered with pneumococcal 7-valent conjugate vac- cine (PCV-7) and Hib vaccine to separate vaccines concurrently or staggered (delayed) administration of PCV-7 vaccine
Poolman 2001	Not RCT: Two studies in Germany and USA reported to show that the nature and function of the antibody are the same in combined and separate DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib vaccination
Saenger 2005	Two studies reported elsewhere while only data of safety is provided
Scheifele 2005	Evaluation of a fourth dose of DTPa-IPV/PRP-T and not compared with separate vaccines
Scheifele 2006	Concurrently administered PCV7, DTaP-IPV/PRP-T and HB compared with separate injections
Tichmann 2005	Comparison of two combined vaccines
Tichmann-Schumann 2005	DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib vaccine and 7vPn conjugate vaccine compared with the administration of the hexavalent DTPa-HBV-IPV/Hib vaccine given alone
Trollfors 2005	Study of the effect of pertussis toxoid on the immunogenicity of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DT) during a trial of an acellular pertussis vaccine

(Continued)

Usonis 1999a	The target is to ensure that separate, concomitant vaccination does not interfere with the PRP response nor negatively influence the reactogenicity profiles of the vaccines when used with an acellular pertussis based combination. In the trial Hib immunization performed concomitantly with a candidate DTPa- HBV-IPV in order to compare the local reactogenicity and immunogenicity of four commercial Hib vaccines
Usonis 1999b	Evaluation of the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a new combined DTPw-HBV/Hib. Com- parison of Hib Lot 001A44 to Hib Lot 002A41
Zepp 1997	A study of memory B-cell induction and the immune response to the combined DTPa-HBV-Hib vaccine (no comparison)
Zepp 2004	Two studies report of safety and reactogenicity of infant primary immunization with the simultaneous administration of six vaccines in a single injection (DTPa-IPV/HIB) to the administration of the same vaccine-antigens given as two separate injections with widely used licensed products

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Anti PRP titres below the assay cutoff 0.15 µg/ml	14	3944	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.35 [0.55, 3.32]
1.1 DTPa titres below the assay cutoff 0.15 µg/ml	7	1740	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.73 [1.19, 6.22]
1.2 DTPw titres below the assay cutoff 0.15 µg/ml	7	2204	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.42 [0.10, 1.70]
2 Anti PRP titres below the assay cutoff 1.0 µg/ml	13	4670	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.26 [0.79, 2.01]
2.1 DTPa titres below the assay cutoff 1.0 µg/ml	7	1732	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.09 [1.20, 3.64]
2.2 DTPw titer below the assay cutoff 1.0 µg/ml	6	2938	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.77 [0.39, 1.52]
3 Anti-FHA (Filamentous haemagglutinin)	7	1706	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.11, 3.75]
3.1 DTPa - immunogenicity failure	7	1706	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.11, 3.75]
4 Anti-PRN (Pertactin)	7	1713	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.70 [0.15, 3.23]
4.1 DTPa - immunogenicity failure	7	1713	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.70 [0.15, 3.23]
5 Anti-HBV (Hepatitis B)	17	5275	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.27 [0.72, 2.25]
5.1 DTPa - immunogenicity failure	8	1972	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.83 [0.75, 4.46]
5.2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure	9	3303	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.36, 2.38]
6 Anti-BPT (Pertussis)	9	2440	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.42, 3.00]
6.1 DTPa - immunogenicity failure	1	262	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.47 [0.04, 5.12]
6.2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure	8	2178	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.34 [0.45, 3.95]
7 Anti-D (Diphtheria)	15	4073	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.42, 2.20]
7.1 DTPa - immunogenicity failure	8	1956	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	3.05 [0.13, 74.15]
7.2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure	7	2117	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.89 [0.37, 2.16]
8 Anti-T (Tetanus)	16	4156	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.03 [0.00, 0.54]
8.1 DTPa - immunogenicity failure	8	1956	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Not estimable
8.2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure	8	2200	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.03 [0.00, 0.54]
9 DTPa Anti-polio type 1 below the assay cutoff 1:8 IU/mL	5	1236	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.22 [0.20, 7.56]

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review)

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

10 DTPa Anti-polio type 2 below the assay cutoff 1:8 IU/mL	5	1228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.84 [0.66, 5.12]
11 DTPa Anti-polio type 3 below the assay cutoff 1:8 IU/mL	5	1233	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.87 [0.59, 5.94]
12 Serious adverse events	8	4932	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.91 [0.56, 1.48]
12.1 DTPa	3	1298	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.75 [0.41, 1.37]
12.2 DTPw	5	3634	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.32 [0.58, 3.00]
13 Pain	16	17841	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.09 [1.02, 1.17]
13.1 DTPa	7	9445	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.20 [1.06, 1.37]
13.2 DTPw	9	8396	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.05 [0.98, 1.12]
14 Redness	16	17841	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.09 [1.00, 1.19]
14.1 DTPa	7	9445	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.96, 1.30]
14.2 DTPw	9	8396	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.06 [0.95, 1.18]
15 Swelling	16	17841	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.05 [0.98, 1.13]
15.1 DTPa	7	9445	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.06 [0.94, 1.21]
15.2 DTPw	9	8396	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.04 [0.95, 1.14]
16 Fever	12	15901	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.95, 1.08]
16.1 DTPa	6	8740	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.98, 1.22]
16.2 DTPw	6	7161	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.98 [0.92, 1.05]
17 Fussiness or restlessness	8	11112	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.95, 1.12]
17.1 DTPa	6	8740	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.91, 1.15]
17.2 DTPw	2	2372	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.05 [0.90, 1.23]
18 Drowsiness	10	11178	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.89, 1.09]
18.1 DTPa	6	6830	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.88, 1.19]
18.2 DTPw	4	4348	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.91 [0.82, 1.00]
19 Irritability or tenderness	8	7440	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.90, 1.04]
19.1 DTPa	2	1761	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.74, 1.44]
19.2 DTPw	6	5679	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.90, 1.01]
20 Poor appetite	10	12851	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.94, 1.09]
20.1 DTPa	5	8158	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.94, 1.22]
20.2 DTPw	5	4693	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]
21 Vomiting	6	7210	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.05 [0.87, 1.26]
21.1 DTPa	3	6191	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.05 [0.85, 1.29]
21.2 DTPw	3	1019	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.08 [0.64, 1.81]
22 Diarrhea	5	4690	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.16 [0.93, 1.44]
22.1 DTPa	2	3671	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.17 [0.91, 1.51]
22.2 DTPw	3	1019	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.69, 1.77]
23 Unusual crying	4	3986	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.88 [0.71, 1.09]
23.1 DTPa	1	2520	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	6.99 [0.36, 135.16]
23.2 DTPw	3	1466	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.87 [0.72, 1.04]
24 Sleeping more than usual	3	5492	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.86, 1.14]
24.1 DTPa	3	5492	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.86, 1.14]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome I Anti PRP titres below the assay cutoff 0.15 µg/ml.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: I Anti PRP titres below the assay cutoff 0.15 g/ml

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa titres below the assay cu	toff 0.15 g/ml			
Aristegui 2003	0/40	0/31		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Avdicova 2002	0/141	0/138		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2004	0/177	0/175		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2005	0/164	0/172		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Omenaca 2001	1/69	0/17		0.77 [0.03, 18.15]
Pichichero 1997	47/251	5/80	-	3.00 [1.23, 7.27]
Schmitt 2000	1/145	0/140	-	2.90 [0.12, 70.53]
Subtotal (95% CI)	987	753	*	2.73 [1.19, 6.22]
Total events: 49 (Combined), 5 (Separate)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Chi ² =	= 0.66, df = 2 (P = 0.72); l ²	=0.0%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.38$ (F	P = 0.017)			
2 DTPw titres below the assay cu	utoff 0.15 g/ml			
Bravo 1998	0/50	0/45		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Ortega-Barria 2007	3/543	2/178		0.49 [0.08, 2.92]
Ramkissoon 2001	0/49	0/48		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Riedemann 2002	0/41	0/40		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Santos 2002	0/181	1/171		0.32 [0.01, 7.68]
Tregnaghi 2006	0/524	0/177		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Win 1997	0/78	1/79		0.34 [0.01, 8.16]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1466	738	-	0.42 [0.10, 1.70]
Total events: 3 (Combined), 4 (Se	eparate)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ² =	= 0.08, df = 2 (P = 0.96); l ²	=0.0%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.22$ (F	P = 0.22)			
Total (95% CI)	2453	1491	•	1.35 [0.55, 3.32]
Total events: 52 (Combined), 9 (S	Separate)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.21$; Chi ²	= 5.89, df = 5 (P = 0.32); I	2 = 15%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.66$ (F	P = 0.51)			
			0.002 0.1 10 500	

Favours treatment Favours control

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.2. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 2 Anti PRP titres below the assay cutoff 1.0 µg/ml.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 2 Anti PRP titres below the assay cutoff 1.0 g/ml

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% CI
I DTPa titres below the assay	∠cutoff 1.0 g/ml				
Aristegui 2003	5/34	2/29		6.1 %	2.13 [0.45, 10.18]
Avdicova 2002	2/141	4/138	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.5 %	0.49 [0.09, 2.63]
Gabutti 2004	/ 77	3/175	· · · ·	3.5 %	0.33 [0.03, 3.14]
Gabutti 2005	5/164	1/172		3.8 %	5.24 [0.62, 44.41]
Omenaca 2001	11/69	0/17		2.5 %	5.91 [0.37, 95.68]
Pichichero 1997	105/251	10/80		14.8 %	3.35 [1.84, 6.08]
Schmitt 2000	33/145	16/140		15.3 %	1.99 [1.15, 3.45]
Subtotal (95% CI)	981	751	-	51.6 %	2.09 [1.20, 3.64]
Total events: 162 (Combined)), 36 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $T_{2}u^2 = 0.16$	2 bi ² = 895 df = 6 (P	$= 0 8 ^2 = 33\%$			
The tensor of the second seco	C(n) = 0.000(1)	- 0.10), 1 - 5570			
lest for overall effect: $\angle - 2.5$	9(P - 0.0096)				
2 DTPw titer below the assay	∕ cutoff I.0 g/ml				
Faingezicht 2002	2/78	2/79		4.5 %	1.01 [0.15, 7.01]
Nolan 2001	58/417	41/433		17.3 %	.47 [.0 , 2.14]
Ortega-Barria 2007	10/543	5/178		9.7 %	0.66 [0.23, 1.89]
Santos 2002	2/181	4/171	←	5.5 %	0.47 [0.09, 2.55]
Tregnaghi 2006	3/524	5/177	← ∎───	6.9 %	0.20 [0.05, 0.84]
Win 1997	2/78	2/79		4.5 %	1.01 [0.15, 7.01]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1821	1117	-	48.4 %	0.77 [0.39, 1.52]
Total events: 77 (Combined),	59 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.31$; C	$Chi^2 = 9.55, df = 5 (P)$	= 0.09); I ² =48%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.7$	76 (P = 0.44)				
Total (95% CI)	2802	1868	-	100.0 %	1.26 [0.79, 2.01]
Total events: 239 (Combined)), 95 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.29; C	Chi ² = 25.98, df = 12 ($(P = 0.01); I^2 = 54\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.9$	98 (P = 0.33)				
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10		
			Favours treatment Favours control		

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis I.3. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 3 Anti-FHA (Filamentous haemagglutinin).

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 3 Anti-FHA (Filamentous haemagglutinin)

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa - immunogenicity fail	ure			
Aristegui 2003	0/40	1/31	← ■	0.26 [0.01, 6.18]
Avdicova 2002	0/141	0/138		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2004	0/177	0/175		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2005	0/162	0/172		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Omenaca 2001	0/64	0/16		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Pichichero 1997	1/251	0/80	← ∎ →	0.96 [0.04, 23.44]
Schmitt 2000	1/130	1/129	←	0.99 [0.06, 15.70]
Total (95% CI)	965	741		0.66 [0.11, 3.75]
Total events: 2 (Combined), 2	2 (Separate)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; C	Chi ² = 0.47, df = 2 (P = 0.79)	; I ² =0.0%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	47 (P = 0.64)			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	
			Favours treatment Favours control	

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis I.4. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 4 Anti-PRN (Pertactin).

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 4 Anti-PRN (Pertactin)

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa - immunogenicity fa	ilure			
Aristegui 2003	1/40	1/31	← ■ →	0.78 [0.05, 11.90]
Avdicova 2002	/ 4	0/138	∎→	2.94 [0.12, 71.47]
Gabutti 2004	0/177	0/175		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2005	0/164	0/172		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Omenaca 2001	0/64	0/16		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Pichichero 1997	0/251	0/80		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Schmitt 2000	1/134	3/130	← ■	0.32 [0.03, 3.07]
Total (95% CI)	971	742		0.70 [0.15, 3.23]
Total events: 3 (Combined),	4 (Separate)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; (Chi ² = 1.23, df = 2 (P = 0.54)	; 12 =0.0%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.45 (P = 0.65)			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	
			Favours treatment Favours control	

Analysis 1.5. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 5 Anti-HBV (Hepatitis B).

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 5 Anti-HBV (Hepatitis B)

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	F	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Ran	dom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa - immunogenicity failure					
Aristegui 2003	1/40	0/31		•••	2.34 [0.10, 55.58]
Avdicova 2002	2/141	0/138			4.89 [0.24, 101.03]
Gabutti 2004	2/177	0/175			4.94 [0.24, 102.24]
Gabutti 2005	0/165	2/170	•		0.21 [0.01, 4.26]
Greenberg 2000	3/115	2/117			1.53 [0.26, 8.96]
Omenaca 2001	0/69	0/17			0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Pichichero 1997	14/251	1/80			4.46 [0.60, 33.41]
Schmitt 2000	2/145	2/141	·	••	0.97 [0.14, 6.81]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1103	869	_		1.83 [0.75, 4.46]
Total events: 24 (Combined), 7 (S	Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ² =	= 4.06, df = 6 (P = 0.67); l ²	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.32$ (F	P = 0.19)				
2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure					
Bravo 1998	2/50	1/45	<u> </u>		1.80 [0.17, 19.19]
Faingezicht 2002	0/78	0/79			0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Nolan 2001	70/417	36/433			2.02 [1.38, 2.95]
Ortega-Barria 2007	6/543	6/178	← ∎	-	0.33 [0.11, 1.00]
Ramkissoon 2001	0/49	0/48			0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Riedemann 2002	1/41	0/40	•		2.93 [0.12, 69.83]
Santos 2002	0/181	2/171	·		0.19[0.01, 3.91]
Tregnaghi 2006	5/524	1/177			1.69 [0.20, 14.36]
Win 1997	0/127	2/122	<u> </u>		0.19 [0.01, 3.96]
Subtotal (95% CI)	2010	1293			0.92 [0.36, 2.38]
Total events: 84 (Combined), 48	(Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.70$; Chi ²	= 13.14, df = 6 (P = 0.04)	; I ² =54%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.16$ (F	P = 0.87)				
			0.2 0.5	2 5	
			Favours treatment	Favours control	
					(Continued)

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate		Risk Ratio	(Continued) Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% CI		M-H,Random,95% Cl
Total (95% CI)	3113	2162	-	-	1.27 [0.72, 2.25]
Total events: 108 (Combined),	55 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.24$; Ch	i ² = 17.23, df = 13 (P = 0.19	9); I ² =25%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.83	(P = 0.41)				
			0.2 0.5	2 5	
			Favours treatment	Favours control	

Analysis I.6. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 6 Anti-BPT (Pertussis).

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 6 Anti-BPT (Pertussis)

Study or subgroup	Combined n/N	Separate n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI
I DTPa - immunogenicity failure				
Schmitt 2000	1/135	2/127	•	0.47 [0.04, 5.12]
Subtotal (95% CI)	135	127		0.47 [0.04, 5.12]
Total events: I (Combined), 2 (Se	parate)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.62$ (F	P = 0.54)			
2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure				
Bravo 1998	2/49	0/45		4.60 [0.23, 93.31]
Faingezicht 2002	1/78	0/79		3.04 [0.13, 73.45]
Ortega-Barria 2007	3/543	1/178		0.98 [0.10, 9.39]
Ramkissoon 2001	0/49	0/48		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Riedemann 2002	/4	0/40		2.93 [0.12, 69.83]
Santos 2002	0/50	0/49		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Tregnaghi 2006	4/507	2/174		0.69 [0.13, 3.71]
Win 1997	0/126	0/122		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1443	735		1.34 [0.45, 3.95]
			0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10	
			Favours treatment Favours control	

(Continued . . .)

Combined	Separate		Risk Ratio	(Continued) Risk Ratio
n/N	n/N	M-H,Rar	ndom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
Separate)				
= 1.84, df = 4 (P = 0.76); l ²	=0.0%			
^D = 0.60)				
1578	862			1.12 [0.42, 3.00]
Separate)				
= 2.45, df = 5 (P = 0.78); l ²	=0.0%			
^D = 0.82)				
		0.1 0.2 0.5	2 5 10	
		Favours treatment	Favours control	
	Combined n/N Separate) = 1.84, df = 4 (P = 0.76); l ² P = 0.60) 1578 Separate) = 2.45, df = 5 (P = 0.78); l ² P = 0.82)	Combined Separate n/N n/N Separate) = 1.84, df = 4 (P = 0.76); l ² = 0.0% = 0.60) 1578 862 Separate) = 2.45, df = 5 (P = 0.78); l ² = 0.0% = 0.82)	Combined Separate n/N n/N M-H,Rar Separate) = 1.84, df = 4 (P = 0.76); l ² = 0.0% $P = 0.60$ 1578 862 Separate) = 2.45, df = 5 (P = 0.78); l ² = 0.0% $P = 0.82$ 0.1 0.2 0.5 Favours treatment	Combined Separate Risk Ratio n/N n/N M-H,Random,95% CI Separate) = 1.84, df = 4 (P = 0.76); l ² = 0.0% P = 0.60) 1578 862 Separate) = 2.45, df = 5 (P = 0.78); l ² = 0.0% P = 0.82) 0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10 Favours treatment Favours control

Analysis 1.7. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 7 Anti-D (Diphtheria).

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 7 Anti-D (Diphtheria)

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95%	GCI M-H,Random,95% CI
I DTPa - immunogenicity failure				
Aristegui 2003	0/40	0/31		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Avdicova 2002	0/141	0/138		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2004	0/177	0/175		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2005	0/164	0/172		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Greenberg 2000	1/115	0/117		3.05 [0.13, 74.15]
Omenaca 2001	0/64	0/16		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Pichichero 1997	0/251	0/80		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Schmitt 2000	0/141	0/134		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1093	863		3.05 [0.13, 74.15]
Total events: I (Combined), 0 (S	eparate)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Chi ²	= 0.0, df = 0 (P = 1.00); I^2	=0.0%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.69$ ((P = 0.49)			
2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure	e			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2	5 10
			Favours treatment Favour	s control
				(Continued)

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	(Continued) Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
Bravo 1998	3/50	3/45		0.90 [0.19, 4.24]
Faingezicht 2002	1/78	2/79	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.51 [0.05, 5.47]
Ortega-Barria 2007	20/543	11/178		0.60 [0.29, 1.22]
Ramkissoon 2001	0/49	0/48		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Santos 2002	1/50	1/49	<u>ـــــ</u>	0.98 [0.06, 15.23]
Tregnaghi 2006	1/525	2/177	← ∎	0.17 [0.02, 1.85]
Win 1997	13/126	3/120	_	4.13 [1.21, 14.12]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1421	696	-	0.89 [0.37, 2.16]
Total events: 39 (Combined), 22	(Separate)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.52; Chi	² = 9.44, df = 5 (P = 0.09);	$ ^2 = 47\%$		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.26$ ((P = 0.80)			
Total (95% CI)	2514	1559		0.96 [0.42, 2.20]
Total events: 40 (Combined), 22	(Separate)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.45; Chi	² = 10.05, df = 6 (P = 0.12)	; l ² =40%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.09$ ((P = 0.92)			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	
			Favours treatment Favours control	

Analysis I.8. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 8 Anti-T (Tetanus).

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 8 Anti-T (Tetanus)

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
	n/IN	n/IN	M-H,Kandom,95% CI	M-H,Kandom,95% CI	
Aristegui 2003	0/40	0/31		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Avdicova 2002	0/141	0/138		00100	
Cabutti 2004	0/177	0/175			
Cabutti 2005	0/1//	0/173		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Gabutti 2005	0/164	0/172		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Greenberg 2000	0/115	0/11/		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Omenaca 2001	0/64	0/16		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Pichichero 1997	0/251	0/80		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Schmitt 2000	0/141	0/134		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	1093	863		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Total events: 0 (Combined), 0 (S	eparate)	0.000			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi^2 Test for overall effect: $7 = 0.0$ (P	= 0.0, df = 0 (P < 0.00001);	12 =0.0%			
2 DTPw - immunogenicity failure	2				
Bravo 1998	0/50	0/45		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Faingezicht 2002	0/78	0/79		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Ortega-Barria 2007	0/543	5/178	·	0.03 [0.00, 0.54]	
Ramkissoon 2001	0/49	0/48		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Riedemann 2002	0/41	0/40		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Santos 2002	0/50	0/49		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Tregnaghi 2006	0/525	0/176		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Win 1997	0/127	0/122		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	1463	737		0.03 [0.00, 0.54]	
Total events: 0 (Combined), 5 (S	eparate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi^2	$= 0.0, df = 0 (P = 1.00); I^2$	=0.0%			
Total (95% CI)	P = 0.017) 2556	1600		0.03[0.00.0.54]	
Total events: 0 (Combined), 5 (S	eparate)	1000		0.05 [0.00, 0.91]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ²	= 0.0, df = 0 (P = 1.00); I^2	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.38$ (P = 0.017)				
			<u> </u>		
			0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 0		
			Favours treatment Favours control		

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, 39 tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review)

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.9. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 9 DTPa Anti-polio type I below the assay cutoff 1:8 IU/mL.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 9 DTPa Anti-polio type I below the assay cutoff I:8 IU/mL

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
Aristegui 2003	6/40	2/31	─	2.33 [0.50, 10.74]
Avdicova 2002	0/141	0/138		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2004	0/177	0/175		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Pichichero 1997	1/251	1/80	← ■	0.32 [0.02, 5.04]
Schmitt 2000	0/104	0/99		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Total (95% CI)	713	523		1.22 [0.20, 7.56]
Total events: 7 (Combined),	3 (Separate)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.68$;	Chi ² = 1.53, df = 1 (P = 0.22); I² =34%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	.21 (P = 0.83)			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	

Favours treatment Favours control

Analysis 1.10. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 10 DTPa Anti-polio type 2 below the assay cutoff 1:8 IU/mL.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 10 DTPa Anti-polio type 2 below the assay cutoff 1:8 IU/mL

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% CI	M-H,Random,95% Cl
Aristegui 2003	9/40	4/31		1.74 [0.59, 5.14]
Avdicova 2002	0/141	0/138		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2004	0/177	0/175		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Pichichero 1997	0/251	0/80		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Schmitt 2000	1/98	0/97		2.97 [0.12, 72.01]
Total (95% CI)	707	521		1.84 [0.66, 5.12]
Total events: 10 (Combined), 4 (Separate)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; ($Chi^2 = 0.10, df = 1 (P = 0.76);$	l ² =0.0%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = I$.17 (P = 0.24)			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	
			Favours treatment Favours control	

Analysis 1.11. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 11 DTPa Anti-polio type 3 below the assay cutoff 1:8 IU/mL.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: II DTPa Anti-polio type 3 below the assay cutoff I:8 IU/mL

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% CI	M-H,Random,95% Cl
Aristegui 2003	8/40	3/31		2.07 [0.60, 7.15]
Avdicova 2002	0/141	0/138		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Gabutti 2004	0/177	0/175		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Pichichero 1997	1/251	0/80	←	0.96 [0.04, 23.44]
Schmitt 2000	0/102	0/98		0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Total (95% CI)	711	522		1.87 [0.59, 5.94]
Total events: 9 (Combined),	3 (Separate)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; (Chi ² = 0.19, df = 1 (P = 0.66);	$ ^2 = 0.0\%$		
Test for overall effect: $Z = I$.06 (P = 0.29)			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	
			Favours treatment Favours control	

Analysis 1.12. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 12 Serious adverse events.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 12 Serious adverse events

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Avdicova 2002	7/141	/ 38		28.1 %	0.62 [0.25, 1.56]
Gabutti 2004	10/177	10/175		32.6 %	0.99 [0.42, 2.32]
Mallet 2000	1/334	3/333	·	4.6 %	0.33 [0.03, 3.18]
Subtotal (95% CI)	652	646	-	65.3 %	0.75 [0.41, 1.37]
Total events: 18 (Combined),	24 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	$hi^2 = 1.06, df = 2 (P =$	0.59); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.9$	94 (P = 0.35)				
2 DTPw					
Faingezicht 2002	2/96	1/95		4.2 %	1.98 [0.18, 21.46]
Nolan 2001	3/604	3/612		9.3 %	1.01 [0.21, 5.00]
Ortega-Barria 2007	4/626	2/209		8.3 %	0.67 [0.12, 3.62]
Santos 2002	1/197	0/195		2.3 %	2.97 [0.12, 72.46]
Tregnaghi 2006	12/750	2/250		10.6 %	2.00 [0.45, 8.87]
Subtotal (95% CI)	2273	1361	-	34.7 %	1.32 [0.58, 3.00]
Total events: 22 (Combined),	8 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Cł	$hi^2 = 1.40, df = 4 (P =$	0.84); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.6	5 (P = 0.51)				
Total (95% CI)	2925	2007	-	100.0 %	0.91 [0.56, 1.48]
Total events: 40 (Combined),	32 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	$hi^2 = 3.62, df = 7 (P =$	0.82); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	37 (P = 0.71)				
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10		
			Favours treatment Favours control		

Analysis 1.13. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 13 Pain.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 13 Pain

Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
11/15	11/14			11-1,1/dild011,75/8 Cl
81/359	61/345		39%	
01/557	01/515	_	5.7 %	1.20 [0.95, 1.72]
89/464	80/464	-	4.4 %	1.11 [0.85, 1.46]
119/636	93/628		5.0 %	1.26 [0.99, 1.62]
79/528	64/529		3.7 %	1.24 [0.91, 1.68]
19/1261	8/1259		0.6 %	2.37 [1.04, 5.40]
358/1966	92/648	-	6.2 %	1.28 [1.04, 1.58]
43/179	51/179		3.0 %	0.84 [0.59, 1.20]
5393	4052	•	26.7 %	1.20 [1.06, 1.37]
$hi^2 = 7.63, df = 6 (P = 6)$ 5 (P = 0.0042)	= 0.27); ² =2 %			
102/172	89/173	-	6.9 %	1.15 [0.95, 1.39]
166/278	141/272	-	8.8 %	1.15 [0.99, 1.34]
322/604	299/612	+	11.0 %	1.09 [0.98, 1.22]
296/626	108/209	-	8.5 %	0.92 [0.78, 1.07]
75/172	63/168		4.7 %	1.16 [0.90, 1.51]
29/162	23/172	_ 	1.6 %	1.34 [0.81, 2.21]
359/581	324/575	-	11.8 %	1.10 [1.00, 1.21]
1215/2131	423/708	-	13.3 %	0.95 [0.89, 1.02]
127/396	127/385	-	6.5 %	0.97 [0.79, 1.19]
5122), 1597 (Separate) hi ² = 15.08, df = 8 (P 4 (P = 0,15)	3274 = 0.06); ² =47%	•	73.3 %	1.05 [0.98, 1.12]
10515), 2046 (Separate) $hi^2 = 30.34$, df = 15 (I 7 (P = 0.010)	7326 P = 0.01); l ² =51%	•	100.0 %	1.09 [1.02, 1.17]
	N 81/359 89/464 119/636 79/528 19/1261 358/1966 43/179 53933 449 (Separate) hi² = 7.63, df = 6 (P = 5 (P = 0.0042) 102/172 166/278 322/604 296/626 75/172 29/162 359/581 1215/2131 127/396 5122), 1597 (Separate) hi² = 15.08, df = 8 (P 4 (P = 0.15) 10515), 2046 (Separate) hi² = 30.34, df = 15 (r 7 (P = 0.010)	Combined Separate n/N n/N $81/359$ $61/345$ $89/464$ $80/464$ $119/636$ $93/628$ $79/528$ $64/529$ $19/1261$ $8/1259$ $358/1966$ $92/648$ $43/179$ $51/179$ 5393 4052 449 (Separate) $hi^2 = 7.63$, df = 6 (P = 0.27); l ² = 21% $hi^2 = 7.63$, df = 6 (P = 0.27); l ² = 21% 5 (P = 0.0042) $102/172$ $89/173$ $166/278$ $141/272$ $322/604$ $299/612$ $296/626$ $108/209$ $75/172$ $63/168$ $29/162$ $23/172$ $359/581$ $324/575$ $1215/2131$ $423/708$ $127/396$ $127/385$ 5122 3274 $hi^2 = 15.08$, df = 8 (P = 0.06); l ² = 47% 4 (P = 0.15) 10515 10515 7326 $hi^2 = 30.34$, df = 15 (P = 0.01); l ² = 51% 7 (P = 0.010) 12 <td>Combined Separate Nisk Ratio n/N n/N M-H,Random,95% Cl $81/359$ $61/345$ $89/464$ $80/464$ $119/636$ $93/628$ $79/528$ $64/529$ $19/1261$ $8/1259$ $358/1966$ $92/648$ $43/179$ $51/179$ 5393 4052 449 (Separate) $h^2 = 7.63$, df = 6 (P = 0.27); l² = 21% $h^2 = 7.63$, df = 6 (P = 0.27); l² = 21% 6 6 (P = 0.0042) $102/172$ $89/173$ $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 40736</td> <td>Combined Separate Nik Ratio Weight n/N n/N M-H.Random,95% CI 3.9 % 81/359 61/345 </td>	Combined Separate Nisk Ratio n/N n/N M -H,Random,95% Cl $81/359$ $61/345$ $89/464$ $80/464$ $119/636$ $93/628$ $79/528$ $64/529$ $19/1261$ $8/1259$ $358/1966$ $92/648$ $43/179$ $51/179$ 5393 4052 449 (Separate) $h^2 = 7.63$, df = 6 (P = 0.27); l ² = 21% $h^2 = 7.63$, df = 6 (P = 0.27); l ² = 21% 6 6 (P = 0.0042) $102/172$ $89/173$ $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 4052 $102/172$ $89/173$ 40736	Combined Separate Nik Ratio Weight n/N n/N M-H.Random,95% CI 3.9 % 81/359 61/345

Favours treatment Favours control

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, 44 tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review)

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.14. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 14 Redness.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 14 Redness

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Aristegui 2003	109/359	82/345	-	5.9 %	1.28 [1.00, 1.63]
Avdicova 2002	148/464	142/464	+	7.1 %	1.04 [0.86, 1.26]
Gabutti 2004	168/636	141/628	-	7.0 %	1.18 [0.97, 1.43]
Gabutti 2005	101/528	111/529	-	6.0 %	0.91 [0.72, 1.16]
Mallet 2000	153/1261	86/1259	-+-	5.7 %	1.78 [1.38, 2.29]
Omenaca 2001	533/1966	178/648	+	8.3 %	0.99 [0.85, 1.14]
Schmitt 2000	77/179	84/179	-	6.2 %	0.92 [0.73, 1.15]
Subtotal (95% CI)	5393	4052	•	46.3 %	1.12 [0.96, 1.30]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; C Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.44$	$h_{\rm c}^{\rm obs} = 23.12$, df = 6 (P 0 (P = 0.16)	= 0.00076); l ² =74%			
Bravo 1998	77/172	56/173		5.3 %	1.38 [1.05, 1.82]
Faingezicht 2002	165/278	146/272	+	8.2 %	1.11 [0.95, 1.28]
Nolan 2001	102/604	88/612		5.5 %	1.17 [0.90, 1.53]
Ortega-Barria 2007	179/626	58/209	+	5.7 %	1.03 [0.80, 1.32]
Ramkissoon 2001	55/172	56/168		4.7 %	0.96 [0.71, 1.30]
Riedemann 2002	20/162	7/172		1.0 %	3.03 [1.32, 6.98]
Santos 2002	191/581	183/575	+	7.7 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.22]
Tregnaghi 2006	754/2131	271/708	-	9.2 %	0.92 [0.83, .03]
Win 1997	103/396	110/385	-	6.2 %	0.91 [0.72, 1.14]
Subtotal (95% CI)	5122	3274	•	53.7 %	1.06 [0.95, 1.18]
Total events: 1646 (Combined Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; C	l), 975 (Separate) Chi ² = 17.86, df = 8 (P	r = 0.02); I ² =55%			
		F	Favours treatment Favours control		

(Continued . . .)

45

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate			I	Risk Rat	io		Weight	(Continued) Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		Μ	I-H,Ran	ndom,95	% Cl			M-H,Random,95% CI
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	.07 (P = 0.28)									
Total (95% CI)	10515	7326				•			100.0 %	1.09 [1.00, 1.19]
Total events: 2935 (Combine	ed), 1799 (Separate)									
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.02$;	$Chi^2 = 42.45, df = 15$ (f	$P = 0.000 9); ^2 = 65\%$	6							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	.87 (P = 0.062)									
			ı	i			i			
			0.1	0.2	0.5	1 2	5	10		
			Favou	irs trea	tment	Favou	irs con	itrol		

Analysis 1.15. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 15 Swelling.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 15 Swelling

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% CI
I DTPa					
Aristegui 2003	81/359	57/345		4.2 %	1.37 [1.01, 1.85]
Avdicova 2002	81/464	71/464		4.5 %	1.14 [0.85, 1.53]
Gabutti 2004	142/636	106/628		6.3 %	1.32 [1.06, 1.66]
Gabutti 2005	88/528	95/529		5.2 %	0.93 [0.71, 1.21]
Mallet 2000	183/1261	174/1259	+	7.6 %	1.05 [0.87, 1.27]
Omenaca 2001	374/1966	137/648	-	8.5 %	0.90 [0.76, 1.07]
Schmitt 2000	66/179	71/179	-	5.2 %	0.93 [0.71, 1.21]
Subtotal (95% CI)	5393	4052	•	41.5 %	1.06 [0.94, 1.21]
Total events: 1015 (Combined	d), 711 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$; C	$Chi^2 = 11.86, df = 6 (P$	= 0.07); I ² =49%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.9$	5 (P = 0.34)				
2 DTPw					
Bravo 1998	85/172	91/173	+	7.0 %	0.94 [0.76, 1.16]
Faingezicht 2002	30/278	115/272	+	7.9 %	. [0.92, .33]
			0.1 0.2 0.3 1 2 3 10		

Favours treatment Favours control

(Continued ...)

Study or subgroup	Combined n/N	Separate n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Weight	(Continued) Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
Nolan 2001	120/604	95/612		5.7 %	1.28 [1.00, 1.63]
Ortega-Barria 2007	170/626	52/209		5.1 %	1.09 [0.83, 1.43]
Ramkissoon 2001	78/172	66/168	-	5.6 %	1.15 [0.90, 1.48]
Riedemann 2002	16/162	12/172		1.0 %	1.42 [0.69, 2.90]
Santos 2002	181/581	161/575	-	8.3 %	1.11 [0.93, 1.33]
Tregnaghi 2006	702/2131	259/708	-	11.8 %	0.90 [0.80, 1.01]
Win 1997	105/396	111/385	+	6.3 %	0.92 [0.73, 1.15]
Subtotal (95% CI)	5122	3274	•	58.5 %	1.04 [0.95, 1.14]
Total events: 1587 (Combined Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; C Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	d), 962 (Separate) Chi ² = 12.93, df = 8 (P 17 (P = 0.39)	$r = 0.11); 1^2 = 38\%$			
Total (95% CI)	10515	7326	•	100.0 %	1.05 [0.98, 1.13]
Total events: 2602 (Combined Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; C Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.3$	d), 1673 (Separate) Chi ² = 25.14, df = 15 (2 (P = 0.19)	$P = 0.05$); $I^2 = 40\%$			
			0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10		

Favours treatment Favours control

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.16. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 16 Fever.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 16 Fever

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Avdicova 2002	51/464	42/464		2.6 %	1.21 [0.82, 1.79]
Gabutti 2004	186/635	147/628	-=-	8.9 %	1.25 [1.04, 1.51]
Gabutti 2005	59/528	71/529		3.6 %	0.83 [0.60, 1.15]
Mallet 2000	185/1261	183/1259	+	8.7 %	1.01 [0.84, 1.22]
Omenaca 2001	301/1966	87/648	-	6.8 %	1.14 [0.91, 1.42]
Schmitt 2000	32/179	31/179		2.0 %	1.03 [0.66, 1.62]
Subtotal (95% CI)	5033	3707	•	32.5 %	1.09 [0.98, 1.22]
Total events: 814 (Combined	I), 561 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00;$	$Chi^2 = 5.92, df = 5 (P$	= 0.3); ² = 6%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.5$	53 (P = 0.13)				
2 DTPw					
Nolan 2001	161/604	154/612	+	8.6 %	1.06 [0.88, 1.28]
Ortega-Barria 2007	322/626	104/209	+	11.4 %	1.03 [0.88, 1.21]
Riedemann 2002	52/162	68/172		4.3 %	0.81 [0.61, 1.09]
Santos 2002	290/581	307/575	-	16.9 %	0.93 [0.84, 1.05]
Tregnaghi 2006	484/2131	175/708	-	12.0 %	0.92 [0.79, 1.07]
Win 1997	219/396	201/385	+	14.3 %	1.06 [0.93, 1.21]
Subtotal (95% CI)	4500	2661	•	67.5 %	0.98 [0.92, 1.05]
Total events: 1528 (Combine	ed), 1009 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00;$	$Chi^2 = 5.48, df = 5 (P$	= 0.36); l ² =9%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.5$	59 (P = 0.55)				
Total (95% CI)	9533	6368		100.0 %	1.02 [0.95, 1.08]
Total events: 2342 (Combine	ed), 1570 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00;$	Chi ² = 14.90, df = 11	$(P = 0.19); I^2 = 26\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	46 (P = 0.64)				
			0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10		
			Favours treatment Favours control		

Analysis 1.17. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 17 Fussiness or restlessness.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 17 Fussiness or restlessness

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Avdicova 2002	81/464	65/464		5.9 %	1.25 [0.92, 1.68]
Gabutti 2004	189/635	198/628	+	14.4 %	0.94 [0.80, .]
Gabutti 2005	49/528	67/529		4.6 %	0.73 [0.52, 1.04]
Mallet 2000	339/1261	298/1259	-	18.5 %	1.14 [0.99, 1.30]
Omenaca 2001	563/1966	187/648	+	17.7 %	0.99 [0.86, 1.14]
Schmitt 2000	34/179	29/179		2.9 %	1.17 [0.75, 1.84]
Subtotal (95% CI)	5033	3707	•	64.0 %	1.03 [0.91, 1.15]
Total events: 1255 (Combined	d), 844 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; C	$Chi^2 = 8.90, df = 5 (P$	= 0.11); I ² =44%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$ 2 DTPw	-3 (P = 0.67)				
Nolan 2001	130/604	112/612		9.3 %	1.18 [0.94, 1.47]
Santos 2002	368/581	365/575	-	26.7 %	1.00 [0.91, 1.09]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1185	1187	•	36.0 %	1.05 [0.90, 1.23]
Total events: 498 (Combined)	, 477 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$; C	$Chi^2 = 1.98, df = 1 (P)$	= 0.16); l ² =49%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.6$	I (P = 0.54)				
Total (95% CI)	6218	4894	•	100.0 %	1.03 [0.95, 1.12]
Total events: 1753 (Combined	d), 1321 (Separate)	0.15.12.050/			
Heterogeneity: $Iau^2 = 0.00$; C	$_{ht^2} = 10.79, dt = 7 (F)$	' = 0.15); l ² =35%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.7$	7 (F = 0.43)				
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	I.	
			Favours treatment Favours control		

Analysis 1.18. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 18 Drowsiness.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 18 Drowsiness

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Aristegui 2003	99/359	100/345	+	11.4 %	0.95 [0.75, 1.20]
Avdicova 2002	67/464	62/464	-	7.7 %	1.08 [0.78, 1.49]
Gabutti 2004	164/635	157/628	+	14.3 %	1.03 [0.86, 1.25]
Gabutti 2005	46/528	71/529		6.7 %	0.65 [0.46, 0.92]
Mallet 2000	339/1261	298/1259	•	18.5 %	1.14 [0.99, 1.30]
Schmitt 2000	41/179	29/179		4.9 %	1.41 [0.92, 2.17]
Subtotal (95% CI)	3426	3404	•	63.7 %	1.02 [0.88, 1.19]
Total events: 756 (Combined),	717 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; C	hi ² = 11.13, df = 5 (P	= 0.05); l ² =55%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.28$	8 (P = 0.78)				
2 DTPw					
Ortega-Barria 2007	160/626	54/209	+	9.9 %	0.99 [0.76, 1.29]
Ramkissoon 2001	17/172	20/168		2.7 %	0.83 [0.45, 1.53]
Riedemann 2002	24/162	27/172		3.7 %	0.94 [0.57, 1.57]
Tregnaghi 2006	679/2131	253/708	-	20.0 %	0.89 [0.79, 1.00]
Subtotal (95% CI)	3091	1257	•	36.3 %	0.91 [0.82, 1.00]
Total events: 880 (Combined),	354 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi	$i^2 = 0.60$, df = 3 (P =	0.90); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.88$	3 (P = 0.060)				
Total (95% CI)	6517	4661	•	100.0 %	0.99 [0.89, 1.09]
Total events: 1636 (Combined), 1071 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$; C	hi ² = 16.25, df = 9 (P	= 0.06); l ² =45%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.27$	7 (P = 0.78)				

0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10 Favours treatment Favours control

Analysis 1.19. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 19 Irritability or tenderness.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 19 Irritability or tenderness

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Aristegui 2003	143/359	113/345	+	10.3 %	1.22 [1.00, 1.48]
Gabutti 2005	112/528	129/529		8.5 %	0.87 [0.70, 1.09]
Subtotal (95% CI)	887	874	+	18.7 %	1.03 [0.74, 1.44]
Total events: 255 (Combined)	, 242 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.05$; C	$Chi^2 = 4.91, df = 1 (P = 1)$	= 0.03); l ² =80%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.1$	9 (P = 0.85)				
2 DTPw					
Faingezicht 2002	153/278	142/272	†	14.6 %	1.05 [0.90, 1.23]
Ortega-Barria 2007	304/626	103/209	+	14.1 %	0.99 [0.84, 1.16]
Ramkissoon 2001	47/172	52/168		4.2 %	0.88 [0.63, 1.23]
Riedemann 2002	49/162	63/172		4.9 %	0.83 [0.61, 1.12]
Tregnaghi 2006	85/2 3	417/708	-	32.1 %	0.94 [0.88, 1.01]
Win 1997	136/396	148/385	-	11.3 %	0.89 [0.74, 1.08]
Subtotal (95% CI)	3765	1914	•	81.3 %	0.95 [0.90, 1.01]
Total events: 1874 (Combined	d), 925 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	$hi^2 = 3.38, df = 5 (P =$	0.64); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.7$	'3 (P = 0.083)				
Total (95% CI)	4652	2788	f	100.0 %	0.97 [0.90, 1.04]
Total events: 2129 (Combined	d), 1167 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00$; C	$Chi^2 = 9.69, df = 7 (P = 7)$	= 0.21); I ² =28%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	8 (P = 0.38)				

0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10

Favours treatment Favours control

Analysis 1.20. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 20 Poor appetite.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 20 Poor appetite

orad/ or sapgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	VVeight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Aristegui 2003	91/359	74/345	-	7.0 %	1.18 [0.90, 1.55]
Gabutti 2004	115/635	115/628	+	9.3 %	0.99 [0.78, 1.25]
Gabutti 2005	61/528	74/529		5.1 %	0.83 [0.60, 1.13]
Mallet 2000	117/1261	108/1259	+	8.2 %	1.08 [0.84, 1.39]
Omenaca 2001	297/1966	79/648	-	9.4 %	1.24 [0.98, 1.56]
Subtotal (95% CI)	4749	3409	•	39.0 %	1.07 [0.94, 1.22]
Total events: 681 (Combined), 4	150 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00$; Ch	i ² = 5.07, df = 4 (P =	= 0.28); I ² =21%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.03$	(P = 0.30)				
2 DTPw					
Bravo 1998	26/172	28/173		2.1 %	0.93 [0.57, 1.53]
Ortega-Barria 2007	130/626	46/209	+	5.8 %	0.94 [0.70, 1.27]
Ramkissoon 2001	30/172	21/168	+	1.9 %	1.40 [0.83, 2.34]
Riedemann 2002	11/162	15/172		0.9 %	0.78 [0.37, 1.64]
Tregnaghi 2006	921/2131	315/708	•	50.2 %	0.97 [0.88, 1.07]
Subtotal (95% CI)	3263	1430	•	61.0 %	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]
Total events: 1118 (Combined),	425 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Chi ²	= 2.29, df = 4 (P =	0.68); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.57$	(P = 0.57)				
Total (95% CI)	8012	4839	•	100.0 %	1.01 [0.94, 1.09]
Total events: 1799 (Combined),	875 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Ch	i ² = 9.17, df = 9 (P =	= 0.42); l ² =2%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.34$	(P = 0.74)				

0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10 Favours treatment Favours control

Analysis 1.21. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 21 Vomiting.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 21 Vomiting

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Gabutti 2005	28/528	18/529		10.3 %	1.56 [0.87, 2.78]
Mallet 2000	76/1261	74/1259	+	35.8 %	1.03 [0.75, 1.40]
Omenaca 2001	163/1966	56/648	+	41.0 %	0.96 [0.72, 1.28]
Subtotal (95% CI)	3755	2436	•	87.1 %	1.05 [0.85, 1.29]
Total events: 267 (Combined) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; C Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$), 148 (Separate) Chi ² = 2.17, df = 2 (P 4 (P = 0.66)	= 0.34); l ² =8%			
Bravo 1998	14/172	3/ 73	_ _ _	6.6 %	1.08 [0.52, 2.24]
Ramkissoon 2001	10/172	9/168		4.5 %	1.09 [0.45, 2.60]
Riedemann 2002	4/162	4/172		1.8 %	1.06 [0.27, 4.17]
Subtotal (95% CI)	506	513	+	12.9 %	1.08 [0.64, 1.81]
Total events: 28 (Combined), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Cr Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	26 (Separate) ni ² = 0.00, df = 2 (P = 9 (P = 0.77)	1.00); l ² =0.0%			
Total (95% CI)	4261	2949	•	100.0 %	1.05 [0.87, 1.26]
Total events: 295 (Combined)	, 174 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	ni ² = 2.19, df = 5 (P =	0.82); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.5$	0 (P = 0.62)				
			0. 0.2 0.5 2 5 0		

Favours treatment Favours control

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.22. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 22 Diarrhea.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 22 Diarrhea

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Gabutti 2005	32/528	26/529		19.6 %	1.23 [0.75, 2.04]
Omenaca 2001	185/1966	53/648	-	58.1 %	1.15 [0.86, 1.54]
Subtotal (95% CI)	2494	1177	•	77.6 %	1.17 [0.91, 1.51]
Total events: 217 (Combined)	, 79 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	$m^2 = 0.05, df = 1 (P =$	0.82); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.2$	2 (P = 0.22)				
2 DTPw					
Bravo 1998	22/172	24/173		17.1 %	0.92 [0.54, 1.58]
Ramkissoon 2001	9/172	4/168		3.7 %	2.20 [0.69, 7.00]
Riedemann 2002	3/162	2/172		1.6 %	1.59 [0.27, 9.41]
Subtotal (95% CI)	506	513	-	22.4 %	1.11 [0.69, 1.77]
Total events: 34 (Combined),	30 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	$m^2 = 1.96$, $df = 2$ (P =	0.37); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	2 (P = 0.67)				
Total (95% CI)	3000	1690	•	100.0 %	1.16 [0.93, 1.44]
Total events: 251 (Combined)	, 109 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	$m^2 = 2.05, df = 4 (P =$	0.73); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.2$	8 (P = 0.20)				
			<u> </u>		
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10		

Favours treatment Favours control

Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB) (Review) Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.23. Comparison I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 23 Unusual crying.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 23 Unusual crying

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I DTPa					
Mallet 2000	3/1261	0/1259		0.5 %	6.99 [0.36, 135.16]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1261	1259		0.5 %	6.99 [0.36, 135.16]
Total events: 3 (Combined), 0	(Separate)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.2$.9 (P = 0.20)				
2 DTPw					
Bravo 1998	69/172	81/173	-	41.1 %	0.86 [0.67, 1.09]
Ramkissoon 2001	57/172	54/168	+	31.5 %	1.03 [0.76, 1.40]
Win 1997	48/396	65/385		26.8 %	0.72 [0.51, 1.01]
Subtotal (95% CI)	740	726	•	99.5 %	0.87 [0.72, 1.04]
Total events: 174 (Combined)	, 200 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00$; C	$Chi^2 = 2.4I, df = 2$ (P	= 0.30); l ² = l 7%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.5$	(P = 0. 3)				
Total (95% CI)	2001	1985	•	100.0 %	0.88 [0.71, 1.09]
Total events: 177 (Combined)	, 200 (Separate)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$; C	$Chi^2 = 4.29, df = 3 (P$	= 0.23); l ² =30%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.2$.0 (P = 0.23)				
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10		

Favours treatment Favours control

Analysis I.24. Comparison | DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines, Outcome 24 Sleeping more than usual.

Review: Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae B (HIB)

Comparison: I DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines

Outcome: 24 Sleeping more than usual

Study or subgroup	Combined	Separate	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	11/1 N	11/1 N	1-1-1 I,I & I doi 11,75% CI		11-11,1\alid011,75% Ci
I DTPa					
Mallet 2000	61/1261	57/1259		15.7 %	1.07 [0.75, 1.52]
Omenaca 2001	428/1966	146/648	-	71.1 %	0.97 [0.82, 1.14]
Schmitt 2000	41/179	40/179	-	13.3 %	1.03 [0.70, 1.50]
Total (95% CI)	3406	2086	+	100.0 %	0.99 [0.86, 1.14]
Total events: 530 (Combine					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Chi ² = 0.30, df = 2 (P = 0.86); $I^2 = 0.0\%$					
Test for overall effect: $Z = C$	0.15 (P = 0.88)				

0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours treatment Favours control

APPENDICES Appendix I. EMBASE.COM

1. 'diphtheria pertussis tetanus haemophilus influenzae type b hepatitis b vaccine'/exp AND [embase]/li m AND [2005-2009]/py

- 2. 'diphtheria pertussis tetanus vaccine'/exp AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 3. diphtheria:ti,ab AND pertussis:ti,ab AND tetanus:ti,ab AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 4. dtp:ti,ab OR dpt:ti,ab OR dtap:ti,ab AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 5. #2 OR #3 OR #4
- 6. 'haemophilus vaccine'/exp AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 7. 'haemophilus influenzae type b'/exp AND [embase]/im AND [2005-2009]/py
- 8. 'haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine'/exp AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 9. haemophilus:ti,ab OR hemophilus:ti,ab OR hib:ti,ab AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 10. #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9
- 11. 'hepatitis b vaccine'/exp AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 12. 'hepatitis b'/exp AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 13. 'hepatitis b':ti,ab OR hbv:ti,ab AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py
- 14. #11 OR #12 OR #13

15. #5 AND #10 AND #14

16. #1 OR #15

17. random*:ti,ab OR placebo*:ti,ab OR 'double blind':ti,ab OR 'double blinded':ti,ab OR 'double blinding':ti,ab AND [embase]/lim AND [2005-2009]/py

18. #16 AND #17

19. #18 AND [embase]/lim AND [01-02-2008]/sd NOT [05-0 16 05 Mar 2009 3-2009]/sd AND [2005-2009]/py

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 4, 2005 Review first published: Issue 3, 2009

8 July 2008 Amended Converted to new review format.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Edna Bar-On (EB): was responsible for the reference searches, article retrieval, study inclusion and exclusion, data extraction, analysis, interpretation of results and writing of the review.

Abigail Fraser (AF): assisted with writing the protocol.

Sarah Hellmann (SH): has assisted with writing the protocol.

Goldberg Elad (GE): was responsible for the reference searches, article retrieval, study inclusion and exclusion, data extraction, analysis and interpretation of results.

Liat Vidal (LV): assisted with the search terms for the protocol.

Leonard Leibovici (LL): was responsible for study inclusion and exclusion, analysis, interpretation of results and writing of the review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None to declare

SOURCES OF SUPPORT Internal sources

• Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Campus, Israel.

External sources

• The National Institute for Health Policy and Health Services Research, Israel.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

The separate vaccine immunogenicity analysis of two types of pertussis vaccination: acellular pertussis (DTPa) and whole cell pertussis (DTPw) was added after the protocol was written.